arXiv:1703.06349v2 [math.CO] 22 Mar 2017

Stability for hyperplane complements of type B/C and statistics on squarefree polynomials over finite fields

Rita Jiménez Rolland* and Jennifer C. H. Wilson

March 24, 2017

Abstract

In this paper we explore a relationship between the topology of the complex hyperplane complements $\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C})$ in type B/C and the combinatorics of certain spaces of degree–n polynomials over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. This relationship is a consequence of the Grothendieck trace formula and work of Lehrer and Kim. We use it to prove a correspondence between a representation-theoretic convergence result on the cohomology algebras $H^*(\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$, and an asymptotic stability result for certain *polynomial* statistics on monic squarefree polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with nonzero constant term. This result is the type B/C analogue of a theorem due to Church, Ellenberg, and Farb in type A, and we include a new proof of their theorem. To establish these convergence results, we realize the sequences of cohomology algebras of the hyperplane complements as *$\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$–algebras finitely generated in $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$–degree 2*, and we investigate the asymptotic behaviour of general families of algebras with this structure. We prove a negative result implying that this structure alone is not sufficient to prove the necessary convergence conditions. Our proof of convergence for the cohomology algebras involves the combinatorics of their relators.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Foundations: $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$-modules and character polynomials **6**
2.1 The theory of $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$–modules 7
2.2 The representation theory of $\mathcal{W}_n$ 8
2.3 Finitely generated $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$–modules are representation stable 9
2.4 Existing asymptotic results 9

3 Convergence and nonconvergence results **10**
3.1 Failure of convergence for free $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$–algebras generated in degree 2 11
3.2 The braid arrangement: an example of a convergent algebra 14
3.3 The algebra of type B/C braid arrangement is convergent 16

*The first author is grateful for the financial support from PAPIIT-UNAM grant IA100816.

4 Statistics on squarefree polynomials and hyperplane arrangements of type B/C 20
4.1 Hyperplane arrangements of type B/C 20
4.2 A point-counting formula 22
4.3 Asymptotic formula 26
4.4 Examples of statistics on squarefree polynomials 29

1 Introduction

Hyperplane complements and statistics on $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

Define complex hyperplane complements

$$\mathcal{M}_{A_n}(\mathbb{C}) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x_i \neq x_j \text{ for all } i \neq j\}$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C}) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x_i \neq 0 \text{ for all } i, \text{ and } x_i \neq \pm x_j \text{ for all } i \neq j\}.$$

The Grothendieck–Lefschetz trace formula in ℓ-adic cohomology and results of Lehrer [Leh92] and Kim [Kim94] imply an amazing relationship between the complex cohomology of these hyperplane complements and point counts for certain spaces of polynomials over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Church, Ellenberg, and Farb [CEF14] describe this relationship for the hyperplane complement $\mathcal{M}_{A_n}(\mathbb{C})$, and use it to relate stability results for the cohomology groups $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{A_n}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{C})$ as symmetric group representations to stability results for *polynomial* statistics on the set of monic squarefree degree–n polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$, in the limit as n tends to infinity [CEF14, Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 1].

One goal of this paper is to prove the analogues of Church, Ellenberg, and Farb's results in type B/C. We investigate the relationship between the complex cohomology of the hyperplane complement $\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and statistics on the set $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of monic squarefree degree–n polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ with nonzero constant term. The space $\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C})$ has an action of the hyperoctahedral group B_n (Definition 2.1), and the following theorem – a twisted version of the Grothendieck–Lefschetz trace formula, specialized to the scheme $\mathcal{Y}_n$ – relates the structure of the cohomology groups $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{C})$ as B_n–representations to point-counts on $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Theorem 4.1 (A point-counting formula for $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$). *Let q be an integral power of a prime number $p > 2$ and let χ be a class function on B_n. Then for each $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} \chi(f) = \sum_{d=0}^{n} (-1)^d q^{n-d} \big\langle \chi, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{C}) \big\rangle_{B_n}. \tag{6}$$

Section 4.2 describes how to interpret a B_n class function χ as a function on polynomials in $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, by considering the action of the Frobenius morphism on an associated set $\mathcal{Y}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$.

In previous work the second author showed that there is a sense in which the B_n–representations $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{C})$ stabilize as n grows [Wil15, Theorem 5.8], using a description of these cohomology groups due to Orlik and Solomon [OS80]. In Theorem 4.9 we use this *representation stability* result for the complex cohomology groups $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{C})$ and a combinatorial result, Theorem 3.8, to prove asymptotic stability for certain *polynomial* statistics on the polynomials $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Theorem 4.9 (Stability for polynomial statistics on $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$). *Let q be an integral power of an odd prime. For any hyperoctahedral character polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \ldots]$ the normalized statistic $q^{-n}\sum_{f\in\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} P(f)$ converges to a limit as $n \to \infty$. In fact*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} q^{-n} \sum_{f\in\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} P(f) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lim_{m\to\infty} \left\langle P|_{B_m}, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_m}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})\right\rangle_{B_m}}{(-q)^d} \tag{8}$$

and the series in the right hand side converges.

The functions X_r and Y_r are *signed-cycle-counting* class functions on B_n (Definition 2.9). Given a polynomial P in these functions, the values $P(f)$ encode data on the irreducible factors of the polynomial f and the square roots of their zeroes in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, as we summarize on the upcoming page. Precise descriptions of character polynomials are given as B_n class functions in Section 2.2 and as statistics on $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in Section 4.2.

Convergence and nonconvergence results: algebras in degree 2

To prove Theorem 4.9 we study the algebraic structure of the cohomology rings of the family $\{\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C})\}_n$. The proof is combinatorial, and the approach was motivated by our general interest in understanding what are the combinatorial features of the generators and relations of a sequence of algebras that allow for convergence results of the form of [CEF14, Theorem 1] and our Theorem 4.9. The cohomology rings of both the families $\{\mathcal{M}_{A_n}(\mathbb{C})\}_n$ and $\{\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C})\}_n$ have the structure of *FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras finitely generated in FI$_\mathcal{W}$-degree* ≤ 2, and a second goal of this paper is to investigate the asymptotic properties implied by this structure.

Let $\mathcal{W}_n$ generically denote either the family of symmetric groups S_n or the family of hyperoctahedral groups B_n. In Section 2, we review the definitions of FI$_\mathcal{W}$–modules and FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras, and the algebraic framework they provide for studying sequences of $\mathcal{W}_n$–representations. In earlier work [JRW17], the authors prove that any FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebra finitely generated in FI$_\mathcal{W}$–degree 0 or 1 satisfies the desired convergence condition; this result is stated in Theorem 3.1. In particular, consider the sequence of commutative or graded-commutative algebras $A_n^* = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ with an action of $\mathcal{W}_n$ by permuting the subscripts and possibly negating the variables. Then for any integer $q > 1$ and any type $\mathcal{W}$ character polynomial P, the following limit converges:

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lim_{m\to\infty} \left\langle P|_{\mathcal{W}_m}, A_m^d\right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_m}}{(-q)^d} \tag{1}$$

This same convergence result holds if we take the sequence of (graded)-commutative algebras in finitely many collections of variables

$$A_n^* = \mathbb{Q}[y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \ldots, y^{(a)}, x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}, \ldots, x_n^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(2)}, \ldots, x_n^{(2)}, \ldots, x_1^{(c)}, x_2^{(c)}, \ldots, x_n^{(c)}]$$

with an action of $\mathcal{W}_n$ by permuting the subscripts and possibly negating the variables.

A natural question, then, is whether convergence is again automatic for for FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras finitely generated in FI$_\mathcal{W}$-degree 2. Specifically, suppose A_n^* is the sequence of polynomial algebras

$$A_n^* = \mathbb{Q}[\, x_{i,j} \mid i, j = 1, \ldots, n\,]$$

with an action of $\mathcal{W}_n$ by permuting the indices and possibly negating the variables. We may assume the variables satisfy any one of $x_{i,j} \neq x_{j,i}, x_{i,j} = x_{j,i}$, or $x_{i,j} = -x_{j,i}$. Then the question is, will this sequence of algebras be convergent in the sense of Equation (1)? In Theorem 3.4, we show that this is not the case; it fails even for the trivial characters $P = 1$.

Theorem 3.4. (Nonconvergence for symmetric FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras generated in FI$_\mathcal{W}$–degree 2). *Let $\Bbbk$ be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, and $q > 1$ an integer. Let V be a graded FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module over $\Bbbk$ supported in positive grades containing a free FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module on a representation of $\mathcal{W}_2$. Let A^* be an FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebra containing the free symmetric algebra on V. Then there exist character polynomials P for which the following series does not converge:*

$$\sum_{d=0} \frac{\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle P_n, A_n^d \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_n}}{q^d}.$$

Theorem 3.4 shows that the convergent results of [CEF14, Theorem 1] and Theorem 4.9 do not follow formally from the combinatorics of the generators of these algebras. In Proposition 3.6 we give a new proof of [CEF14, Theorem 1], and we extend this strategy to prove Theorem 4.9. These proofs examine how combinatorial aspects of the *relations* of these algebras can drive their convergence behaviour.

Statistics on squarefree polynomials and hyperplane complements of type B/C

Recall that $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denotes the set of monic squarefree polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ with nonzero constant term. It is natural to ask about the distribution of irreducible degree–r factors of these polynomials. Roots of any degree–r irreducible factor lie in $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$, and it is a more subtle question to ask about the nature of the square roots of these roots. These data are encoded by the action of the Frobenius morphism on the set $\mathcal{Y}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, and allows us to interpret the hyperoctahedral signed-cycle-counting class functions X_r and Y_r (Definition 2.9) as the following functions on $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

$X_r(f) =$ # degree–r irreducible factors of f whose roots are *quadratic residues* over $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$
$Y_r(f) =$ # degree–r irreducible factors of f whose roots are *quadratic nonresidues* over $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$
$X_r(f) + Y_r(f) =$ total # degree–r irreducible factors of f.

Details are given in Sections 4.1 and 4.2. With these definitions, Theorem 4.9 then states that for q an odd prime power, given any polynomial P in the class functions X_r and Y_r, the limits in Formula (8) exist and are equal. It implies in particular that the expected value of P on $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ converges.

Corollary 4.10. (Stability for the expected value of polynomial statistics on $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$). *Let q be an integral power of an odd prime. For any polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \ldots]$ the expected value of P on $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ converges as n tends to infinity, and its limit is*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{f\in\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} P(f)}{|\mathcal{Y}(F_q)|} = \left(\frac{q+1}{q-1}\right) \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lim_{m\to\infty} \left\langle P_m, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_m}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})\right\rangle_{B_m}}{(-q)^d}.$$

Sample computations

To illustrate these results, in Section 4.4 we evaluate Formula (8) in some specific examples. We first review a result of Douglass [Dou92, Formula (1.1)] on a decomposition of the cohomology groups $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$ as B_n–representations. Then, using results of Brieskorn [Bri73, Théorème 7] and Douglass, we compute the stable inner products on the right-hand side of Formula 8 for the character polynomials $P = 1$, $P = X_1 - Y_1$ (Lemma 4.15) and $P = X_1 + Y_1$ (Lemma 4.16). We then show that, from these computations, we can deduce the following (well-known) statistics on the polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$:

- **(Proposition 4.14).** The number of degree–n monic squarefree polynomials f in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ with $f(0) \neq 0$ is
$$|\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q^n - 2q^{n-1} + 2q^{n-2} - \ldots + (-1)^{n-1}2q + (-1)^n.$$
- **(Proposition 4.17).** The expected number of linear factors in a random degree–n monic squarefree polynomial f in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ with $f(0) \neq 0$ converges to
$$\frac{q-1}{q+1} \qquad \text{in the limit as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$
- **(Proposition 4.18).** The expected number of roots that are quadratic residues over $\mathbb{F}_q$ for a random degree–n monic squarefree polynomial f in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ with $f(0) \neq 0$ converges to
$$\frac{q-1}{2(q+1)} \qquad \text{in the limit as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

These three statistics can be computed directly by combinatorial methods on $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$, for example, they are a special case of a computation done by Alegre, Juarez, and Pajela [AJP15, Theorem 20] using the generating function techniques of Fulman [Ful16]. We have taken this unconventional approach to computing these statistics in Section 4.4 in order to showcase the extraordinary result of Grothendieck, Lehrer, Kim, and others that we can extract combinatorial data on polynomials over finite fields from topological properties of complex hyperplane complements, and vice versa.

Related work

New work of Matei

After posting a preprint of this paper, we learned of recent work of Vlad Matei [Mat]. Matei independently proves Theorem 4.1 [Theorem 4, Matei], also using methods inspired by Church, Ellenberg, and Farb's proof of their Theorem 3.7 [CEF14]. He combines this result with a description of the cohomology groups $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C}),\mathbb{C})$ due to Henderson [Hen06] to prove a theorem [Mat, Theorem 1] on the distribution of monic degree–n polynomials of the form
$$f(T) = \Big(g(T)\Big)^2 + T\Big(h(T)\Big)^2, \qquad g(T), h(T) \in \mathbb{F}_q[T].$$

New work of Casto

While finishing this paper, we learned of new work of Casto [Cas17] establishing a general asymptotic stability result for statistics on the ith roots of the zeroes of polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and the associated orbit configuration spaces. Casto [Cas17] generalizes techniques of Farb and Wolfson [FW16] to *FI$_G$–modules*. He proves asymptotic stability by topological methods, showing that orbit configuration spaces have *convergent cohomology* [Cas17, Theorem 1.3 & Section 3]. His results [Cas17, Theorem 1.4 and 1.5] recover Theorems 4.1 and 4.9 as the special case $i = 2$.

Other related work

Convergence of the left hand side of Formula (8) in Theorem 4.9 above could also be proven using the generating function techniques that have been employed in recent work such as Fulman [Ful16] and Chen [Che16]; see [Che16, Corollay 4 (b)]. These methods can also be used to obtain additional results on the stable values, for example, Chen [Che16] shows that the stable Betti numbers of unordered configuration spaces are *quasipolynomial* and satisfy linear recurrence relations. It should be possible to adapt these techniques for the type B/C analogues.

The use of point-counting over finite fields to obtain topological information about complex reflection group arrangements has appeared in the work of Kisin and Lehrer [KL02]. See also Chen [Che16] and Fulman–Jiménez Rolland–Wilson [FJRW17] for related work on the spaces of maximal tori in Lie groups of types A and B/ C, respectively.

Farb–Wolfson [FW16, Theorem B] prove *étale homological* and *representation stability* for the cohomology of configuration spaces of n points on smooth varieties. They use the Grothendieck–Leftschetz formula to relate this result to point counts over finite fields, and they prove asymptotic stability for these point counts by establishing subexponential bounds on the growth of the unstable cohomology of those spaces [FW16, Theorems A and C].

Gadish [Gad17, Theorem A] derives a version of the Grothendieck trace formula for ramified covers that is suited to applications in representation stability. With this formula he performs explicit computations for the Vieta cover of the variety of polynomials, and describes factorization statistics of polynomials over finite fields [Gad17, Section 3].

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Tom Church, Weiyan Chen, Kevin Casto, Sean Howe, and László Lovász for helpful conversations. We thank Benson Farb for suggesting this project to us.

2 Foundations: FI$_\mathcal{W}$-modules and character polynomials

Church–Ellenberg–Farb [CEF15] introduced the theory of *FI–modules* as an algebraic framework for studying sequences of symmetric group representations. Their results were generalized by the second author to sequences of representations of the classical Weyl groups in type B/C and D [Wil14, Wil15]. In this section we summarize the relevant terminology and results.

Definition 2.1. Let B_n denote the Weyl group in type B_n/C_n, which we call the the *hyperoctahedral group* or *signed permutation group*. We define B_n as the subgroup of permutations $S_\Omega \cong S_{2n}$ on the

set $\Omega = \{1, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{2}, \ldots, n, \overline{n}\}$ given by

$$B_n = \{\sigma \in S_\Omega \mid \sigma(\overline{a}) = \overline{\sigma(a)} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq a \leq n\}, \qquad B_0 = \{1\}.$$

There is a natural surjection $B_n \to S_n$ by forgetting signs of the elements of Ω.

Throughout the paper we will use $\mathcal{W}_n$ to generically denote either Weyl group family: the symmetric groups S_n in type A or the signed permutation groups B_n in type B/C.

2.1 The theory of FI$_\mathcal{W}$–modules

Definition 2.2. (The category FI$_\mathcal{W}$; FI$_\mathcal{W}$–modules.) Let $\mathcal{W}_n$ be one of the families S_n or B_n. To each of these families we associate a category FI$_\mathcal{W}$, defined as follows. Its objects are finite sets

$$\mathbf{0} = \varnothing \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbf{n} = \{1, \overline{1}, \ldots, n, \overline{n}\} \qquad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1},$$

where $\overline{a}$ is shorthand for $(-a)$ for any integer a. The FI$_\mathcal{W}$ morphisms are generated by its endomorphisms $\mathrm{End}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathcal{W}_n$ and the canonical inclusions $I_n : \mathbf{n} \to (\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1})$. An *FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module* V over a commutative, unital ring R is a functor from FI$_\mathcal{W}$ to the category of R–modules; its image is sequence of $\mathcal{W}_n$–representations $V_n := V(\mathbf{n})$ with actions of the FI$_\mathcal{W}$ morphisms.

In type A, the category FI$_A$ is equivalent to the category FI studied by Church–Ellenberg–Farb [CEF15]. In type B/C we denote the category by FI$_{BC}$.

Definition 2.3. (Graded FI$_\mathcal{W}$–modules; graded FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras.) A *graded FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module* V^* over a ring R is a functor from FI$_\mathcal{W}$ to the category of graded R–modules. A *graded FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebra* over a ring R is a functor from FI$_\mathcal{W}$ to the category of graded R–algebras. We will refer to d as the *graded–degree* and n as the *FI$_\mathcal{W}$–degree* of the R–module V_n^d.

Definition 2.4. (Finite generation; degree of generation; finite type.) An FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module V is *generated (as a module)* by elements $\{v_i\} \subseteq \coprod_n V_n$ if V is the smallest FI$_\mathcal{W}$–submodule of V containing $\{v_i\}$. We call $\{v_i\}$ an *(additive) generating set* for V. A graded FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebra $V = V^*$ is *generated (as an algebra)* by elements $\{v_i\} \subseteq \coprod_n V_n$ if V is the smallest FI$_\mathcal{W}$–subalgebra containing the elements $\{v_i\}$. We call $\{v_i\}$ an *(algebra) generating set* for V. An FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module V (respectively, a graded FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebra $V = V^*$)is *finitely generated* as a module (respectively, as an algebra) if it has a finite generating set, and V is *generated in degree* $\leq m$ if it is generated by $\coprod_{k=0}^m V_k$. A graded FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module or algebra V^* has *finite type* if each graded piece V^d is finitely generated as an FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module.

Definition 2.5. (The representable FI$_\mathcal{W}$–modules $M_\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{m})$.) Following the notation introduced by Church–Ellenberg–Farb [CEF15], we write

$$M_\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{m}) := R\big[\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{FI}_\mathcal{W}}(\mathbf{m}, -)\big]$$

for the represented FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module over R generated in degree m. An orbit-stabilizer argument shows that for each n there is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{W}_n$–representations

$$M_\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{m})_n \cong R\big[\mathcal{W}_n/\mathcal{W}_{n-m}\big].$$

We denote represented FI–modules by $M(\mathbf{m})$ and represented FI$_{BC}$–modules by $M_{BC}(\mathbf{m})$.

An $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$–module over R is finitely generated in degree $\leq k$ if and only if it is a quotient of a finite direct sum of represented functors $M_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbf{m})$ with $m \leq k$ [CEF15, Proposition 2.3.5]; [Wil14, Proposition 3.15].

Definition 2.6. (The free $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$-modules $M_{\mathcal{W}}(U)$.) Fix a nonnegative integer m and a $\mathcal{W}_m$–representation U. Define the $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$–module

$$M_{\mathcal{W}}(U) := M_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbf{m}) \otimes_{R[\mathcal{W}_m]} U$$

using the right action of $\mathcal{W}_m$ on $M_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbf{m}) = R\big[\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})\big]$. We call $M_{\mathcal{W}}(U)$ the *free $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$–module on U*. As $\mathcal{W}_n$–representations,

$$M_{\mathcal{W}}(U)_n \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}_m \times \mathcal{W}_{n-m}}^{\mathcal{W}_n} U \boxtimes R, \qquad \text{where } R \text{ carries a trivial } \mathcal{W}_{n-m}\text{–action.}$$

Over fields of characteristic zero the decomposition of $M_{\mathcal{W}}(U)_n$ into irreducible representations is described by the branching rules. If U is an irreducible S_d–representation associated to a partition λ of d, then we may write $M(\lambda)$ in place of $M(U)$. Similarly, for W the irreducible B_d–representation associated to double partition (λ, μ) we write $M_{BC}(\lambda, \mu)$ for $M_{BC}(W)$.

2.2 The representation theory of $\mathcal{W}_n$

Just as the irreducible complex representations of S_n are in natural bijection with *partitions* of n, the irreducible complex representations of B_n are in bijection with *double partitions* of n, that is, ordered pairs of partitions (λ, μ) such that $|\lambda| + |\mu| = n$. We denote the corresponding representation by $V_{(\lambda,\mu)}$. See (for example) Geck–Pfeiffer [GP00] for a detailed development of the representation theory of these Weyl groups, or (for example) Wilson [Wil14, Section 2.1] for a summary suited to present purposes. Each complex irreducible representation of these groups is defined over the rational numbers, or any subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. For the remainder of this section we will take coefficients to be in a subfield $\Bbbk$ of $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.7. (Character polynomials for S_n). For each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, MacDonald [Mac79, I.7 Example 14] defined a class function $X_r : \coprod_n S_n \to \mathbb{Z}$ that take a permutation σ to the number $X_r(\sigma)$ of r–cycles in its cycle type. A *character polynomial for S_n* with coefficients in a ring $\Bbbk$ is a polynomial in these class functions $P \in \Bbbk[X_1, X_2, \ldots]$. The *degree* of a character polynomial is defined by assigning $\deg(X_r) = r$ for $r \geq 1$. A character polynomial P defines a class function on S_n for all $n \geq 0$; we denote its restriction to S_n by P_n.

Definition 2.8. (Signed cycle type of a signed permutation). The conjugacy classes of B_n are classified by *signed cycle type* as follows. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, a signed permutation in B_n is called an *r–cycle* if it projects to an r–cycle in S_n. A *positive r-cycle* is an r–cycle that negates an even number of letters in $\Omega = \{1, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{2}, \ldots, n, \overline{n}\}$; these are elements of the form

$$(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r)(\overline{a_1}\,\overline{a_2} \cdots \overline{a_r}) \in S_\Omega, \qquad a_i \in \Omega$$

when expressed in cycle notation as a permutation on Ω. A positive r–cycle has order r. A *negative r–cycle* is a signed permutation that negates an odd number of letters; these have the form

$$(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r \overline{a_1} \cdots \overline{a_r}) \in S_\Omega, \qquad a_i \in \Omega.$$

A negative r–cycle has order $2r$, and its r^{th} power is a product of transpositions

$$(a_1\ \overline{a_1})(a_2\ \overline{a_2}) \cdots (a_r\ \overline{a_r}).$$

Young [You30] proved that signed permutations factor uniquely as a product of positive and negative cycles, and two signed permutations are conjugate if and only if they have the same signed cycle type.

Definition 2.9. (Character polynomials for B_n). Given $\sigma \in B_n$, let $X_r(\sigma)$ be the number of positive r–cycles in its signed cycle type, and $Y_r(\sigma)$ the number of negative r–cycles. A *hyperoctahedral character polynomial* with coefficients in a ring $\Bbbk$ is a polynomial $P \in \Bbbk[X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \ldots]$. Each character polynomial defines a class function on $\coprod_n B_n$; we write P_n to mean its restriction to B_n. We define the *degree* of a character polynomial by setting $\deg(X_r) = \deg(Y_r) = r$.

Notation 2.10. (The inner product $\langle -, - \rangle_G$). For a finite group G, we write $\langle -, - \rangle_G$ for the standard inner product on the $\mathbb{C}$-valued class functions on G. By abuse of notation we may write either class functions or G–representations in its argument; a representations should be taken to represent the corresponding character.

2.3 Finitely generated FI$_\mathcal{W}$–modules are representation stable

A central result of the work of Church–Ellenberg–Farb [CEF15] and Wilson [Wil14, Wil15] are constraints on the structure of finitely generated FI$_\mathcal{W}$–modules. Specifically, a finitely generated FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module over characteristic zero is *uniformly representation stable* in the sense of Church–Farb [CF13, Definition 2.3], and its characters are *eventually polynomial*, in the sense of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.11. (Constraints on finitely generated FI$_\mathcal{W}$–modules). *Let V be an FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module over a subfield $\Bbbk$ of $\mathbb{C}$ which is finitely generated in degree $\leq m$.*

- **(Uniform representation stability)** *[CEF15, Prop. 3.3.3]; [Wil14, Theorem 4.27]*
  The sequence V_n is uniformly representation stable with respect to the maps induced by the FI$_\mathcal{W}$–morphisms the natural inclusions $I_n : \mathbf{n} \to (\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1})$, stabilizing once n is at least $m+\max($generation degree of V, relation degree of $V)$.
- **(Character polynomials)** *[CEF15, Theorem 3.3.4]; [Wil15, Theorem 4.6]*
  Let χ_n denote the character of the $\mathcal{W}_n$–representation V_n. Then there exists a unique character polynomial F_V of degree at most m such that $F_V(\sigma) = \chi_n(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_n$ and $n >> 0$.

Relation degree is defined in [Wil14, Definition 3.18]. Church–Ellenberg–Farb and Wilson proved that finitely generated FI$_\mathcal{W}$–modules are Noetherian [CEF15, Theorem 1.3]; [Wil14, Theorem 4.21], and so a finitely generated FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module V necessarily has finite relation degree.

2.4 Existing asymptotic results

Below is a summary of results on asymptotics of character polynomials, which we will use to prove the results in Section 3.

Definition 2.12. (Asymptotic equivalence; asymptotic bounds; Big and little O notation). Let $f, g \colon \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}$ be functions. We say that f is *asymptotically equivalent* to g and write $f \sim g$ if

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{f(d)}{g(d)} = 1.$$

We say f is *asymptotically dominated* by g and write $f \lesssim g$ if

$$\limsup_{d\to\infty} \frac{f(d)}{g(d)} \leq 1.$$

The function f *is order* $O(g)$ or *asymptotically bounded above* by g if

$$\limsup_{d\to\infty} \left|\frac{f(d)}{g(d)}\right| < \infty,$$

equivalently, if $|f(d)| \leq C|g(d)|$ for some constant C and all d sufficiently large. The function f *is order* $o(g)$ if

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{f(d)}{g(d)} = 0.$$

Proposition 2.13. (The inner product of character polynomials stabilizes). *[CEF14, Proposition 3.9]; [JRW17, Proposition 3.1] Let $\Bbbk$ be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, and let $\mathcal{W}_n$ represent one of the families S_n or B_n. Let P, Q be two character polynomials for $\mathcal{W}_n$. Then the inner product $\langle Q_n, P_n\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_n}$ is independent of n for $n \geq \deg(P) + \deg(Q)$.*

Lemma 2.14. (Stability for characters of finitely generated FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras). *[JRW17, Lemma 3.3] Let $\Bbbk$ be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, and let $\mathcal{W}_n$ represent one of the families S_n or B_n. Suppose that A^* is an associative FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebra over $\Bbbk$ that is generated as an FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebra by finitely many elements of positive graded-degree. Then for each d and any $\mathcal{W}_n$ character polynomial P, the following limit exists:*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle P_n, A_n^d\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_n}.$$

Lemma 2.15. (Bounding coinvariants). *[CEF14, Definition 3.12]; [JRW17, Lemma 3.4] Let A^d be the d^{th} graded piece of a graded FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module over a subfield $\Bbbk$ of $\mathbb{C}$. For a function $g : \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \to \mathbb{R}$, the following are equivalent:*

I. For each $a \geq 0$ there is a function $F_a(d)$ that independent of n and order $O(g)$ such that

$$dim_\Bbbk\left((A_n^d)^{\mathcal{W}_{n-a}}\right) \leq F_a(d) \qquad \text{for all } d \text{ and } n.$$

II. For each $\mathcal{W}_n$ character polynomial P there is a function $F_P(d)$ that is independent of n and order $O(g)$ such that

$$|\langle P_n, A_n^d\rangle_{B_n}| \leq F_P(d) \qquad \text{for all } d \text{ and } n.$$

3 Convergence and nonconvergence results

In recent work [JRW17] the authors prove that FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras finitely generated in FI$_\mathcal{W}$–degree zero or one satisfy a certain convergence result; the precise statement is given in Theorem 3.1 below. We use this theorem to investigate the structure of coinvariant algebras of type A and type B/C. Our results can be interpreted as asymptotic convergence results for 'polynomial statistics' on maximal tori in the corresponding matrix groups over finite fields; see [CEF14, Theorem 5.6] and [JRW17, Theorem 4.3].

Many naturally arising FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras, however, are finitely generated by elements in FI$_\mathcal{W}$-degree two or higher, and so do not fall within the scope of Theorem 3.1. These include the main examples of Sections 3 and 4, the cohomology algebras of the hyperplane complements associated to braid arrangements in type A and B/C. Again we are faced with the question of whether these FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras are convergent in the sense of Theorem 3.1: in Section 4 we describe how this result corresponds to convergence results for certain statistics on polynomials over finite fields.

An optimistic, if naive, conjecture is that all finitely generated FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras satisfy the form of convergence of Theorem 3.1. Unfortunately, in Section 3.1, we show that this is not the case in general. In Sections 3.2 and 3.3, however, we develop combinatorial strategies for proving convergence in our specific examples, the cohomology of the hyperplane complements. Proposition 3.6 gives a new proof of convergence in type A, and Theorem 3.8 establishes convergence in type B/C.

3.1 Failure of convergence for free FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras generated in degree 2

In earlier work [JRW17, Theorem 3.5] the authors prove a convergence result for free (graded)-commutative FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras on generators in FI$_\mathcal{W}$–degrees zero or one.

Theorem 3.1. (Criteria for convergent FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras). *[JRW17, Theorem 3.5]. Let $\mathcal{W}_n$ be one of the families S_n or B_n, and let $\Bbbk$ be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. For nonnegative integers b, c, define a graded FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module over $\Bbbk$*

$$V \cong M_\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{0})^{\oplus b} \oplus M_\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{1})^{\oplus c}$$

with positive gradings. Let Γ^* be the commutative, exterior, or graded-commutative algebra generated by V. Let $\{A_d^n\}$ be any sequence of graded $\mathcal{W}_n$-representations such that A_n^d is a subrepresentation of $\Gamma^d_{\mathcal{W}_n}$. Then for any $\mathcal{W}_n$ character polynomial P and integer $q > 1$, the following sequence converges asbolutely:

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle P_n, A_n^d\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_n}}{q^d}.$$

In this next proposition we show that if we replace V by

$$M(\ydiagram{2}) = M(\mathbf{2}) \otimes_{\Bbbk[S_2]} \Bbbk \quad \text{or} \quad M_{BC}(\ydiagram{2}, \varnothing) = M_{BC}(\mathbf{2}) \otimes_{\Bbbk[B_2]} \Bbbk,$$

which are in some sense the next 'smallest' projective FI$_\mathcal{W}$–modules, then the convergence result no longer holds.

Proposition 3.2. (Nonconvergence for symmetric FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras on $M(\ydiagram{2})$ or $M_{BC}(\ydiagram{2}, \varnothing)$). *Let $\Bbbk$ be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, and $q > 1$ an integer. Let V be the free FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module on the trivial $\mathcal{W}_2$–representation $\Bbbk$, concretely, this is $M(\ydiagram{2})$ in type A or $M_{BC}(\ydiagram{2}, \varnothing)$ in type B/C. Assume V is graded by some positive grading. Let A^* be an FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebra containing the symmetric algebra on V. Then there exist character polynomials P for which the following series does not converge:*

$$\sum_{d=0} \frac{\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle P_n, A_n^d\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_n}}{q^d}.$$

Proof. Suppose that V is concentrated in graded–degree $\ell \geq 1$. It is enough to consider the character polynomial $P = 1$ and the case that A^* is equal to the symmetric FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebra freely generated

by V. The inner product $\langle 1, A_n^d\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_n}$ is the dimension of the $\mathcal{W}_n$–invariant subspace of A_n^d; this value is nonnegative and could only grow if A^* were larger.

The graded FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module V is generated by a single generator $x_{1,2}$ in FI$_\mathcal{W}$–degree 2 and graded-degree ℓ. Then A^* is finitely generated by $x_{1,2}$ as an FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebra, and Lemma 2.14 guarantees that the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle P, A_n^d\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_n}$ exists.

We first consider the FI–algebra in type A. The FI–module $M(\square\square)$ has bases

$$M(\square\square)_n \cong \langle\, x_{i,j} \;\mid\; i \neq j, \quad i,j \in [n], \quad x_{i,j} = x_{j,i} \,\rangle.$$

Then $A_n^{d\ell}$ is spanned by monomials in d commuting variables $x_{i,j}$, and we may index these monomials by graphs on vertices labelled by $1,\dots,n$ and an edge (i,j) for each variable $x_{i,j}$ that occurs. The S_n–orbits of these monomials are indexed by unlabelled graphs on d edges.

For n sufficiently large, say $n > 2d$, the number of these unlabelled graphs is independent of n; we can simply consider those graphs with d edges and without isolated vertices. We will, in fact, only need to consider monomials without repeated variables, so the corresponding graphs have no multi-edges.

Let G_d denote the number of graphs on d edges without loops, multi-edges, or isolated vertices. Lupanov [Lup59] showed that

$$G_d \gtrsim \left[\frac{2}{e}\frac{d}{\ln^2 d}\left(\frac{2\ln(\ln d)}{\ln d}+1\right)\right]^d.$$

In particular

$$\sqrt[d]{G_d} \sim \frac{2}{e}\frac{d}{\ln^2 d}.$$

It follows that the series $\displaystyle\sum_{d\geq 0}\frac{G_d}{q^{\ell d}}$ does not converge for any $\ell \geq 1$ or $q > 1$. For $n > 2d$,

$$\langle 1, A_n^{\ell d}\rangle_{S_n} = \dim_{\Bbbk}((A_n^{\ell d})^{S_n}) > G_d$$

and we conclude that the series

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty}\frac{\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle P_n, A_n^d\rangle_{S_n}}{q^d} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty}\frac{\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle P_n, A_n^{\ell d}\rangle_{S_n}}{q^{\ell d}}$$

does not converge for the constant class function $P = 1$.

The result in type B/C follows the same argument; again

$$M_{BC}(\square\square, \varnothing)_n \cong \langle x_{i,j} \mid i \neq j, \quad i,j \in [n], \quad x_{i,j} = x_{j,i}\rangle$$

with the action of B_n on the generators factoring through the quotient $B_n \twoheadrightarrow S_n$. Thus the B_n–invariants are isomorphic to the S_n–invariants above, and the same graph-theoretic bounds imply that the series

$$\sum_{d=0}\frac{\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle P_n, A_n^d\rangle_{B_n}}{q^d}$$

will not converge in the case that $P = 1$. □

Remark 3.3. (Nonconvergence for exterior FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras on $M(\square\!\square)$ or $M_{BC}(\square\!\square, \varnothing)$). We expect that the nonconvergence result of Proposition 3.2 would also hold for the free exterior FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras on $M(\square\!\square)$ or $M_{BC}(\square\!\square, \varnothing)$. Heuristically, this is because there is an asymptotic sense in which graphs generically have trivial automorphism groups – and graphs with trivial automorphism groups will correspond to orbits of anticommutative monomials that are nonzero in the $\mathcal{W}_n$–quotient.

We can leverage the results of Proposition 3.2 to prove that convergence fails for any commutative FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebra generated by a free FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module on a $\mathcal{W}_2$–representation.

Theorem 3.4. (Nonconvergence for symmetric FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebras generated in FI$_\mathcal{W}$–degree 2). *Let $\Bbbk$ be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, and $q > 1$ an integer. Let V be a graded FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module over $\Bbbk$ supported in positive grades containing a free FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module on a representation of $\mathcal{W}_2$. Let A^* be an FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebra containing the free symmetric algebra on V. Then there exist character polynomials P for which the following series does not converge:*

$$\sum_{d=0} \frac{\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle P_n, A_n^d\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_n}}{q^d}.$$

Proof. In light of Proposition 3.2, it suffices to consider the cases that V is equal to $M\left(\square\!\square\right)$ if it is type A, or equal to one of

$$M_{BC}\left(\square\!\square, \varnothing\right), \quad M_{BC}(\square, \square), \quad M_{BC}(\varnothing, \square\!\square), \quad \text{or} \quad M_{BC}\left(\varnothing, \square\!\square\right)$$

if it is type B/C.

If V is any of the FI$_\mathcal{W}$–modules $M\left(\square\!\square\right)$, $M_{BC}\left(\square\!\square, \varnothing\right)$, $M_{BC}(\varnothing, \square\!\square)$, or $M_{BC}\left(\varnothing, \square\!\square\right)$, then V_n is spanned by elements of the form $x_{i,j}$ with $i \neq j$, $i, j \in [n]$, and $x_{i,j} = \pm x_{j,i}$, where $\mathcal{W}_n$ acts by permuting the indices and negating the variables. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned}
M\left(\square\!\square\right) &= \langle x_{i,j} \mid x_{i,j} = -x_{j,i}\rangle \\
M_{BC}\left(\square\!\square, \varnothing\right) &= \langle x_{i,j} \mid x_{i,j} = -x_{j,i}\rangle, && (i\ \bar{i}) \cdot x_{i,j} = x_{i,j} \\
M_{BC}(\varnothing, \square\!\square) &= \langle x_{i,j} \mid x_{i,j} = x_{j,i}\rangle, && (i\ \bar{i}) \cdot x_{i,j} = -x_{i,j} \\
M_{BC}\left(\varnothing, \square\!\square\right) &= \langle x_{i,j} \mid x_{i,j} = -x_{j,i}\rangle, && (i\ \bar{i}) \cdot x_{i,j} = -x_{i,j}
\end{aligned}$$

The squares $(x_{i,j})^2 \in A^*$ span a copy of $M(\square\!\square)$ in type A or $M_{BC}(\square\!\square, \varnothing)$ in type B/C. Since these squares are algebraically independent, A^* contains the polynomial algebra they generate, and the result follows from Proposition 3.2.

It remains to address the FI$_{BC}$–module $M_{BC}(\square, \square)$. In FI$_{BC}$–degree n this module is spanned by variables

$$\{x_i \otimes y_j \mid i \neq j,\ i, j \in [n]\}, \qquad (i\ \bar{i}) \cdot x_i \otimes y_j = -x_i \otimes y_j, \quad (j\ \bar{j}) \cdot x_i \otimes y_j = x_i \otimes y_j$$

and an action of $S_n \subseteq B_n$ by permuting the indices. But then the elements $\left((x_i \otimes y_j)^2 + (x_j \otimes y_i)^2\right)$ are algebraically independent and span a copy of $M_{BC}(\square\!\square, \varnothing) \subseteq A^*$, so again convergence must fail by Proposition 3.2. □

Theorem 3.4 suggests the following problem.

Problem 3.5. (Convergence criteria for $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$–algebra with generators in $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$–degree ≤ 2). Let A^* be a finitely generated $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$–algebra with generators in $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$–degree ≤ 2. Given a presentation for A as an $\mathrm{FI}_{\mathcal{W}}$–algebra, find combinatorial criteria on the relations that guarantee convergence in the sense of Theorem 3.1.

3.2 The braid arrangement: an example of a convergent algebra

Church–Ellenberg–Farb [CEF14, Proposition 4.2] showed that convergence in the sense of Theorem 3.1 does hold for the anticommutative FI–algebra generated by $M(\square\square)$ and subject to the "Arnold relations". Our Theorem 3.4 shows that finite generation as an FI–algebra in FI–degree 2 is not enough in general to ensure this form of convergence, and that this result should be viewed as a feature of the relations that define this FI–algebra.

Let $\Bbbk$ be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the *Arnold algebra* over $\Bbbk$

$$\mathcal{A}_n^* := \frac{\bigwedge^*[\alpha_{i,j} \mid i,j \in [n],\ , i \neq j,\ \alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{j,i}]}{(\alpha_{i,j}\alpha_{j,k} + \alpha_{j,k}\alpha_{k,i} + \alpha_{k,i}\alpha_{i,j})}$$

which Arnold [Arn69] proved to be isomorphic to the cohomology ring of the pure braid group. This algebra is, equivalently, the cohomology $H^*(\mathcal{M}_{A_{n-1}}(\mathbb{C}); \Bbbk)$ of the complex hyperplane complement associated to the symmetric group's reflecting hyperplanes. This hyperplane arrangement is sometimes called the *braid arrangement*. Church–Ellenberg–Farb show that $\mathcal{A}^*$ has the structure of an FI-algebra finitely generated by $\mathcal{A}^1 = M(\square\square)$ [CEF15, Example 5.1.3].

Proposition 3.6 below gives a simplified proof of a result of Church–Ellenberg–Farb [CEF14, Proposition 4.2]. These authors established the result using an explicit decomposition of each graded piece $\mathcal{A}_n^d$ as an S_n–representation, a decomposition proven in significant work of Lehrer–Solomon [LS86, Theorem 4.5]. Our proof will serve as a warm-up to proving Theorem 3.8, the analogous result in Type B/C.

Proposition 3.6. (Convergence for the braid arrangement, [CEF14, Proposition 4.2]). *Let $\mathcal{A}_n^d$ denote the dth-graded piece of the Arnold's algebra. For $q \geq 3$ and any character polynomial P, the sum*

$$\sum_{d=0} \frac{\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle P_n, \mathcal{A}_n^d \rangle_{S_n}}{q^d}$$

converges absolutely.

Our approach to the proofs of Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 3.8 is driven by our interest in Problem 3.5, and suggests the following partial solution: we can establish convergence in these cases because we can reduce the spanning sets for the graded pieces from a set of general labelled graphs to a set of decorated labelled trees. Our proof will use the following lemma on forest enumeration.

Lemma 3.7. (Forest enumeration). *Let $\mathcal{F}(d)$ denote the number of unlabelled unrooted forests on d edges. Let $\mathcal{F}_*(d)$ denote the number of unlabelled forests of rooted trees with the property that roots occur only at leaves. Then $\mathcal{F}_*(d)$ and $\mathcal{F}(d)$ are order $O(2.96^d)$.*

Proof. Otter [Ott48] proved that the number of unlabelled trees $t(d)$ on d vertices and $(d-1)$ edges is asymptotically

$$t(d) \sim Cb^d d^{-\frac{5}{2}} \qquad \text{with } C \doteq 0.534949606\ldots \text{ and } b \doteq 2.955765285651994974714818\ldots$$

The numerical values for the constants are given by Finch [Fin03]; see Flajolet–Sedgewick [FS09, VII.5 Equation (58) (p481), or Proposition VII.5 (p475) and Subsection VII.21 (p477)].

The number of rooted unlabelled trees $r(d)$ on d vertices and $(d-1)$ edges is asymptotically

$$r(d) \sim Db^d d^{-\frac{3}{2}} \qquad \text{with } D \doteq 0.43992 \text{ and } b \doteq 2.95576528565\ldots \text{ as above}$$

See Flajolet–Sedgewick [FS09, Figure I.13 (p65)].

Suppose we have an unlabelled forest on d edges such that each tree has a distinguished leaf. We can identify the distinguished leaves to a single vertex to create a rooted tree. This operation is invertible; given a rooted tree we can recover the forest of rooted trees by totally disconnecting all edges incident on the root. Hence $\mathcal{F}_*(d) = r(d+1)$ is asymptotically given by

$$r(d+1) \sim Db^{d+1}(d+1)^{-\frac{3}{2}} < (b)b^d < 3b^d.$$

This proves the bound on $\mathcal{F}_*(d)$. Since there are more forests of rooted than unrooted trees, this also gives an asymptotic upper bound on $\mathcal{F}(d)$. □

Proof of Proposition 3.6. By Lemma 2.14, the limit in the numerator $\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle P_n, \mathcal{A}_n^d\rangle_{S_n}$ exists.

To prove that the sum

$$\sum_{d=0} \frac{\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle P_n, \mathcal{A}_n^d\rangle_{S_n}}{q^d}$$

converges absolutely, by Lemma 2.15 it suffices to show that for each $a \geq 0$ there is a function $F_a(d)$ that is independent of n and has order $o(2.99^d)$ so that

$$\dim_{\Bbbk}\left((\mathcal{A}_n^d)^{S_{n-a}}\right) \leq F_a(d) \qquad \text{for all } n \text{ and } d.$$

Arnold [Arn69, Corollary 3] proves that an additive basis for $\mathcal{A}_n^d$ is given by the monomials

$$\alpha_{i_1,j_i} \wedge \alpha_{i_2,j_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{i_d,j_d} \qquad \text{such that} \qquad i_k < j_k, \text{ and } j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_d. \tag{2}$$

Other monomials are not necessarily zero, they are merely in the span of those given in Formula (2). Encode each monomial by a graph with vertices labelled by numerals $1, \ldots, n$ and with an edge (i,j) for each factor $\alpha_{i,j}$. Since the generators $\alpha_{i,j}$ anticommute, the graph has no multiple edges. The condition on the basis elements (2) that the indices increasing imply that their corresponding graphs have no cycles – that is, each basis element corresponds to a forest. We can therefore take the set of all forests with d edges and vertices labelled by $[n]$ as a (redundant) generating set for $\mathcal{A}_n^d$. Recall from Lemma 3.7 that the number of forests $\mathcal{F}(d)$ with d edges is (quite fortuitously) asymptotically bounded by $3(2.96)^d$.

Consider a forest on d edges with vertices labelled by $[n]$, and an S_n-action by permuting the labels. Its S_{n-a}-orbit, if nonzero, is encoded by a forest with some vertices assigned distinct labels in $[a] = \{1, 2, \ldots, a\}$ and other vertices unlabelled. We will disregard other additive relations between the monomials of A_n^d corresponding to these forests, and counting these forests' S_{n-a}-orbits will overcount the dimension of $(\mathcal{A}_n^d)^{S_{n-a}}$. The forest has d edges and therefore has at most $2d$ vertices. There are 2^a subsets of $[a]$ and at most $\frac{2d!}{(2d-a)!}$ ways to label vertices of the forest by a given subset. Hence the number of orbits of basis elements – the dimension of $(\mathcal{A}_n^d)^{S_{n-a}}$ – is asymptotically bounded above by

$$(2^a)\left(\frac{2d!}{(2d-a)!}\right)(3)(2.96)^d.$$

This gives the desired convergence result. □

The Arnold algebra is of particular interest since, using the Grothendieck–Lefschetz formula, Proposition 3.6 implies a convergence result for certain statistics on squarefree polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$; see Church–Ellenberg–Farb [CEF14, Theorem 1].

3.3 The algebra of type B/C braid arrangement is convergent

In this section we consider the analogue of the Arnold algebra in type B/C, and prove the corresponding convergence result in Theorem 3.8. In Section 4 we will use this theorem to prove Theorem 4.9., a convergence result for certain statistics on squarefree polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$.

Let $\Bbbk$ be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_n^*$ denote the the cohomology algebra of the *hyperplane complement of type B/C*

$$\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C}) := \Big\{(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_i \neq \pm z_j \text{ for } i \neq j; z_i \neq 0 \text{ for all } i\Big\},$$

the complex hyperplane complement associated to the hyperoctahedral group's reflecting hyperplanes. This hyperplane arrangement is also called the *braid arrangement of type B/C*. As noted in [Wil15, Theorem 5.8], $\mathcal{B}^*$ has the structure of finitely generated FI_{BC}-algebra over $\Bbbk$ and it is generated by the FI_{BC}-module

$$\mathcal{B}^1 = M_{BC}(\square, \varnothing) \oplus M_{BC}(\square\square, \varnothing) \oplus M_{BC}(\varnothing, \square\square).$$

Theorem 3.4 suggests that finite generation by $\mathcal{B}^1$ alone should not be enough to ensure the desired convergence properties. Nevertheless, as in type A we will use the algebra's relations to prove Theorem 3.8.

Theorem 3.8. (Convergence for the hyperplane arrangement of type B/C) *Let $\mathcal{B}_n^d$ denote the degree–d graded piece of the cohomology algebra of the hyperplane complement $\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C})$ of type B/C. For $q \geq 3$ and any hyperoctahedral character polynomial P , the sum*

$$\sum_{d=0} \frac{\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle P_n, \mathcal{B}_n^d \rangle_{B_n}}{q^d}$$

converges absolutely.

Before proving Theorem 3.8, we collect some preliminary results. The structure of the cohomology ring of the complement $\mathcal{M}$ of a finite arrangement of complex hyperplanes containing the origin was studied by Brieskorn [Bri73] and Orlik–Solomon [OS80].

Theorem 3.9. *([OS80, Theorem 5.2]; see also [LS86, Theorem 2.3 & Equation (2.2)]) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the complement of a finite complex hyperplane arrangement $\mathfrak{A}$. Define a set of hyperplanes $H_1, \ldots, H_p$ to be* dependent *if*

$$\mathit{codim}(H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_p) < p.$$

Then the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic as a graded algebra to the quotient of the exterior algebra

$$H^*(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{C}) \cong \frac{\bigwedge\langle e_H \mid H \in \mathfrak{A}\rangle}{\left\langle \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^{p} (-1)^\ell \, e_{H_1} \cdots \widehat{e_{H_\ell}} \cdots e_{H_p} \quad \middle| \quad H_1, \ldots, H_p \text{ dependent} \quad \right\rangle}.$$

In particular, any product of dependent hyperplanes $e_{H_1} e_{H_2} \cdots e_{H_p}$ vanishes in the quotient.

The algebra $\mathcal{B}_n^*$

By Theorem 3.9, the cohomology ring $\mathcal{B}_n^*$ has algebra generators in bijection with the reflecting hyperplanes of the hyperoctahedral group B_n. Let $\alpha_{i,j}$, $\beta_{i,j}$, and γ_i denote the degree–1 generators corresponding to the hyperplanes $\langle z_i - z_j\rangle^\perp$, $\langle z_i + z_j\rangle^\perp$, and $\langle z_i\rangle^\perp$, respectively, for all $i \neq j$, $i, j \in [n]$. Observe that $\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{j,i}$ and $\beta_{i,j} = \beta_{j,i}$.

A collection of hyperplanes is dependent precisely when their normal vectors form a linearly dependent set. Observe that the following sets of hyperplanes are dependent in the sense of Theorem 3.9 :

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\alpha_{i,j}, \alpha_{j,k}, \alpha_{k,i}\}, \{\alpha_{i,j}, \beta_{j,k}, \beta_{k,i}\}, && \text{for distinct } i, j, k \in [n] \\ &\{\alpha_{i,j}, \beta_{i,j}, \gamma_i\}, \{\alpha_{i,j}, \gamma_j, \gamma_i\}, \{\beta_{i,j}, \gamma_j, \gamma_i\} && \text{for distinct } i, j \in [n]. \end{aligned}$$

Notably, the sets $\{\beta_{i,j}, \beta_{j,k}, \beta_{k,i}\}$ and $\{\alpha_{i,j}, \alpha_{j,k}, \beta_{k,i}\}$ are not dependent. The sets of dependent hyperplanes give the relations

$$\alpha_{i,j}\alpha_{j,k} + \alpha_{j,k}\alpha_{k,i} + \alpha_{k,i}\alpha_{i,j}, \qquad \alpha_{i,j}\beta_{j,k} + \beta_{j,k}\beta_{k,i} + \beta_{k,i}\alpha_{i,j}, \tag{3}$$

$$\beta_{i,j}\gamma_i + \gamma_i\alpha_{i,j} + \alpha_{i,j}\beta_{i,j} \qquad \alpha_{i,j}\gamma_j + \gamma_i\alpha_{i,j} + \gamma_j\gamma_i \qquad \beta_{i,j}\gamma_j + \gamma_i\beta_{i,j} + \gamma_j\gamma_i. \tag{4}$$

Lemma 3.10. (Generators for $\mathcal{B}_n^*$). *Any word in the elements $\alpha_{i,j}$ and $\beta_{k,\ell}$ is in the span of the words of the form*

$$\theta_{i_1,j_1}\theta_{i_2,j_2}\theta_{i_3,j_3}\cdots\theta_{i_t,j_t}\gamma_{i_{t+1}}\cdots\gamma_{i_r}, \qquad \theta_{i_k,j_k} \in \{\alpha_{i_k,j_k}, \beta_{i_k,j_k}\}, \qquad j_k < j_{k+1} \text{ and } i_k < j_k.$$

Proof. To see this, take any word in $\alpha_{i,j}$ and $\beta_{k,\ell}$. Since $\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{j,i}$ and $\beta_{k,\ell} = \beta_{k,\ell}$, we may assume the indices are increasing – and (up to sign) we may arrange the factors in the word so that second indices are nondecreasing. The goal is to express the word as a linear combination of words with second indices strictly increasing. To accomplish this, we may use the relations in Equation (3) inductively replace each factor of the form $\theta_{i,k}\theta_{j,k}$ (with $i < j < k$) by the appropriate expression

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{i,k}\alpha_{j,k} &= \alpha_{i,j}\alpha_{j,k} - \alpha_{i,j}\alpha_{i,k} & \alpha_{i,k}\beta_{j,k} &= \beta_{i,j}\beta_{j,k} - \beta_{i,j}\alpha_{i,k} \\ \beta_{i,k}\alpha_{j,k} &= \beta_{i,j}\alpha_{j,k} - \beta_{i,j}\beta_{i,k} & \beta_{i,k}\beta_{j,k} &= \alpha_{i,j}\beta_{j,k} - \alpha_{i,j}\beta_{i,k}. \end{aligned}$$

and using the relations in Equation (4) to replace any factor of the form $\alpha_{i,j}\beta_{i,j}$ by

$$\alpha_{i,j}\beta_{i,j} = \alpha_{i,j}\gamma_i - \beta_{i,j}\gamma_i. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.11. (Spanning forests for $\mathcal{B}_n^d$). *The degree–d graded piece $\mathcal{B}_n^d$ has a linear spanning set in bijection with decorated forests characterized by the following properties:*

- *trees may be rooted or unrooted*
- *if a tree is rooted then the root occurs at a leaf*
- *nodes are labelled by digits in $[n]$*
- *edges are coloured red or blue*
- *the number of edges and the number of rooted trees sum to d.*

Proof. Consider a word in $\mathcal{B}_n^d$. By Lemma 3.10, we can assume that the subword on the generators $\theta_{i_k,j_k} \in \{\alpha_{i_k,j_k}, \beta_{i_k,j_k}\}$ satisfies $j_k < j_{k+1}$ and $i_k < j_k$. Identify this word with a labelled graph by assigning a red edge (i,j) for each factor α_{i_k,j_k} and a blue edge (k,ℓ) for each factor $\beta_{k,\ell}$. The condition on the indices guarantees that these graph contains no cycles; this graph is a forest.

Mark a distinguished vertex i for each factor γ_i. We claim that there can be at most one distinguished vertex for each connected component of the graph. Suppose otherwise, then the tree must contain a path as in Figure 1 corresponding to factors

$$\gamma_{i_1}\theta_{i_1,i_2}\theta_{i_2,i_3}\cdots\theta_{i_{t-1},i_t}\gamma_{i_t}.$$



Figure 1: A path corresponding to factors $\gamma_{i_1}\theta_{i_1,i_2}\theta_{i_2,i_3}\cdots\theta_{i_{t-1},i_t}\gamma_{i_t}$. The self-loops represent the γ_i factors.

There are $t+1$ corresponding hyperplanes, with normal vectors contained in the t-dimensional vector subspace $\langle z_{i_1}, z_{i_2}, \ldots, z_{i_t}\rangle$. These normal vectors must be linearly dependent, and so by Theorem 3.9 the word is equal to zero. It follows that each tree contains at most one distinguished vertex, and we can consider these trees to be rooted.

It remains to show that we need only those forests where the roots occur at leaves. If we have a factor γ_i corresponding to an internal vertex i, we can use the relations of Equation (4)

$$\gamma_i\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{i,j}\gamma_j + \gamma_i\gamma_j \qquad \text{or} \qquad \gamma_i\beta_{i,j} = \gamma_j\beta_{i,j} + \gamma_i\gamma_j$$

to write our forest as the sum of two forests. The forest associated to the word containing $\gamma_i\gamma_j$ has had the edge (i,j) deleted, and both the vertices i and j are roots of two new trees. In the second forest, the root moved from vertex i across the edge (i,j) to vertex j. Iterating this operation, we can 'move' the root from an internal vertex to a leaf of our original forest, and then repeat on the operation on the new set of forests created associated to the terms $\gamma_i\gamma_j$. Each such new forest has one fewer edges than its predecessor, and so this procedure must terminate. This concludes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 3.8. The graded pieces $\mathcal{B}_n^d$ of the cohomology ring are finitely generated FI_{BC}–modules [Wil15, Theorem 5.8], and so the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle P_n, \mathcal{B}_n^d\rangle_{B_n}$ exists by Lemma 2.14. To prove that the sum

$$\sum_{d=0} \frac{\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle P_n, \mathcal{B}_n^d\rangle_{B_n}}{q^d}$$

converges absolutely, by Lemma 2.15, it suffices to find a function $F_a(d)$ for each $a \geq 0$ such that

$$\dim_{\Bbbk}\big((\mathcal{B}_n^d)^{B_{n-a}}\big) \leq F_a(d) \qquad \text{for all } n \text{ and } d.$$

and such that $F_a(d)$ is independent of n and has order $o(2.99^d)$. By Lemma 3.11, it suffices to show that for each a, the number of B_{n-a}–orbits of forests described in the Lemma has order $o(2.99^d)$.

Consider the action of B_n on a forest described in Lemma 3.11. The action of the symmetric group $S_n \subseteq B_n$ permutes the labels $1, 2, \ldots, n$ on the vertices. The action of the transposition $(j\ \overline{j})$

on the vertex j will simultaneously turn all red edges incident to vertex j to blue, and all blue edges to red. As in the proof of Proposition 3.6, we will disregard any additive relations between the monomials associated to these forests.

Fix a. Vertices $1, 2, \ldots, a$ are labelled, and under the action of $S_{n-a} \subseteq B_{n-a}$ we may consider the remaining vertices in the B_{n-a} quotient to be unlabelled. We claim that it is also possible to consider all but at most a of the edges to be uncoloured. To see this, first choose a distinguished leaf v_* on each tree, and give each tree a directed graph structure by directing edges away from this leaf. Let v_e denote the terminal vertex of an edge e. At most a of the terminal vertices v_e are labelled by a letter in $[a]$. We will show that, under the B_{n-a} action, all colourings are possible for the set of edges e with vertex v_e labelled in $[n] \setminus [a]$, and so we may consider these edges uncoloured in the B_{n-a} quotient. To obtain a given colouring of the edges labelled in $[n] \setminus [a]$, proceed as follows: first, if the vertex at distance 1 from v_* is labelled in $[n] \setminus [a]$, act on it by identity or negation to give the edge e the desired colour. Then act on all vertices at distance 2 from v_* with labels in $[n] \setminus [a]$ to give the associated edges the desired colours. At step n, act on all vertices labelled in $[n] \setminus [a]$ at distance n from v_*. By construction, the action at step n will not affect any of the earlier colourations, so this procedure will yield the desired colouring. Since all colourings are possible, in the B_{n-a} quotient we may consider these edges to be uncoloured.

We can conclude that $\dim_{\Bbbk}(\mathcal{B}_n^d)^{B_{n-a}}$ is strictly less than the number of forests of rooted trees on at most d edges with some vertices given distinct labels from a subset of $[a]$ and up to a edges coloured red or blue. Each forest has at most $2d$ vertices, so there are 2^a subsets of $[a]$ and at most $\frac{(2d)!}{(2d-a)!}$ ways to apply these labels. There are $\sum_{i=0}^{a} \binom{d}{i}$ subsets of the d edges of size at most a; for d large relative to a, $\binom{d}{i} \leq \binom{d}{a}$ so $\sum_{i=0}^{a} \binom{d}{i} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{a} \binom{d}{a} \leq (a+1)\binom{d}{a}$. For each subset of edges, there are at most 2^a ways to colour these edges either red or blue.

Let $\mathcal{F}_*(d)$ denote the number of forests where each tree has a distinguished leaf. Putting this together we have, for d large,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\Bbbk}(\mathcal{B}_n^d)^{B_{n-a}} &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{d} \mathcal{F}_*(i) 2^a \frac{(2d)!}{(2d-a)!}(a+1)\binom{d}{a}2^a \\ &\leq d\mathcal{F}_*(d) 4^a (a+1)\frac{(2d)!}{(2d-a)!}\binom{d}{a}\end{aligned}$$

As a function of d, this is $\mathcal{F}_*(d)$ multiplied by a degree–$(2a+1)$ polynomial in d. By Lemma 3.7, $\mathcal{F}_*(d)$ is bounded above by $3(2.96)^d$, and so asymptotically $\dim_{\Bbbk}(\mathcal{B}_n^d)^{B_{n-a}}$ is bounded above by

$$4^a(a+1)(d)\frac{(2d)!}{(2d-a)!}\binom{d}{a}(3)(2.96)^d,$$

as desired. □

Remark 3.12. (The Church–Ellenberg–Farb approach to Theorem 3.8). Theorem 3.8 could also be proved using the explicit decomposition of each graded piece $\mathcal{B}_n^d$ as a B_n–representation due to Douglass (see Theorem 4.12 below), and generalizing the argument of Church–Ellenberg–Farb [CEF14, Proposition 4.2]. Our approach, however, is more elementary, and highlights the combinatorial features of the algebraic relations in the algebra $\mathcal{B}_n^*$ that drive this convergence result.

Remark 3.13. (Casto's improved bounds). In more recent work, Casto [Cas17, Theorem 3.3] showed that the dimension of the invariant subspaces $\dim_{\Bbbk}((\mathcal{A}_n^d)^{S_{n-a}})$ and $\dim_{\Bbbk}((\mathcal{B}_n^d)^{S_{n-a}})$ are in fact bounded above by a polynomial in d, independently of n. His methods apply to a more general setting and are based on a spectral sequence argument.

4 Statistics on squarefree polynomials and hyperplane arrangements of type B/C

In this section we use results of Grothendieck, Lehrer, and Kim to describe the relationship between (on the topological side) the complex cohomology of the hyperplane complement $\mathcal{M}_{BC\,n}(\mathbb{C})$, and (on the combinatorial side) statistics on the set $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of monic squarefree degree-n polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ with nonzero constant term.

We describe how these statistics capture the distribution of irreducible degree-r factors of polynomials in $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and the nature of the square roots of their zeroes. By combining this formula with Theorem 3.8, we obtain Theorem 4.9, our asymptotic result about statistics for $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Theorem 4.9 is the type B/C analogue of Church–Ellenberg–Farb [CEF14, Theorem 1].

4.1 Hyperplane arrangements of type B/C

Consider the canonical action of the hyperoctahedral group B_n on $\mathbb{C}^n$ by signed permutation matrices. Let $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the set of complex codimension one hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^n$ fixed by a (complexified) reflection of B_n. These hyperplanes are defined by the equations

$$z_i - z_j = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \neq j, \qquad z_i + z_j = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \neq j\,, \qquad z_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \tag{5}$$

with $i, j \in [n]$. The hyperplane complement of type B/C is the complex manifold given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{BC\,n}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{C})} H = \Big\{(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_i \neq \pm z_j \text{ for } i \neq j; z_i \neq 0 \text{ for all } i\Big\}.$$

Since the linear forms that appearing in (5) are defined over $\mathbb{Z}$, the complement $\mathcal{M}_{BC\,n}(\mathbb{C})$ can be thought as the set of complex points of a scheme $\mathcal{M}_n$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. More precisely,

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}_n} H,$$

where $\mathcal{A}_n$ denotes the set of hyperplanes in affine n-space $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by the linear equations in (5). Furthermore, the set $\mathcal{A}_n$ of hyperplanes is stable under the B_n–action on $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by signed permutation matrices and so $\mathcal{M}_n$ has an action of B_n. The quotient scheme $\mathcal{Y}_n := \mathcal{M}_n/B_n$ is also defined over $\mathbb{Z}$.

In what follows we let $p > 2$ be a fixed prime and let $q = p^r$ for some $r \geq 1$. Since the schemes $\mathcal{M}_n$ and $\mathcal{Y}_n$ are defined over $\mathbb{Z}$, they may be reduced to schemes over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. The set $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$–points of $\mathcal{M}_n$ parametrizes n–tuples of nonzero points in $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ distinct from each other and from their negatives. In this chapter we are interested on statistics on the set $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$–points of $\mathcal{Y}_n$. The set $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ may be viewed as a set of polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$, as we will now see.

Consider the map $\psi : \mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}$ which sends an n–tuple $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to the coefficients of the degree–n polynomial $g(T) = (T - x_1^2)(T - x_2^2) \cdots (T - x_n^2)$. Observe that the space of monic degree–n polynomials is again $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}$ parametrized by the coefficients $(a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1})$ of the polynomials. For each monic polynomial

$$g(T) = a_0 + a_1 T + \ldots + a_{n-1} T^{n-1} + T^n$$

in the image of ψ, the coefficient a_{n-i} is given by a polynomial $f_i(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ defined by evaluating the i^{th} elementary symmetric function e_i at the roots $x_1^2, x_2^2, \ldots, x_n^2$ of $f(T)$,

$$f_i(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) := e_i(x_1^2, x_2^2, \ldots, x_n^2).$$

Specifically,

$$a_{n-1} = \sum_{1 \le i \le n} x_i^2, \qquad a_{n-2} = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i^2 x_j^2, \qquad \ldots, \qquad a_0 = x_1^2 \cdots x_n^2.$$

Furthermore, there is a natural action of B_n on the affine scheme $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by signed permutation matrices. The map ψ is constant on B_n–orbits and it factors through the quotient scheme $(\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}/B_n)$. It follows form the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials that the B_n–invariant functions on $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]^{B_n} &\cong \left(\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]^{(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n}\right)^{B_n/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n} \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1^2, x_2^2, \ldots, x_n^2]^{S_n} \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}[f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n] \end{aligned}$$

and the map ψ therefore induces an isomorphism of schemes

$$(\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}/B_n) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

The restriction of ψ to $\mathcal{M}_n$ gives us the unramified B_n–cover

$$\mathcal{M}_n \to \mathcal{Y}_n = \mathcal{M}_n/B_n.$$

Since $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is algebraically closed, the $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$–points $\mathcal{Y}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ corresponds to the set of B_n–orbits of $\mathcal{M}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. A point in $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ corresponds to a set

$$\{\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n\} \subset \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p \quad \text{with } x_i \neq 0 \text{ for all } i, \text{ invariant under } \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Given the map ψ and the isomorphism $(\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}/B_n) \to \mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}$, we interpret the $\mathbb{F}$–points of $\mathcal{Y}_n$ as

$$\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}) := \{f \in \mathbb{F}[T] : \text{ degree-}n \text{ squarefree with } f(0) \neq 0\},$$

where $\mathbb{F}$ is the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ or $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

4.2 A point-counting formula

Let us consider the set $\mathcal{Y}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ of $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$–points of $\mathcal{Y}_n$. The *geometric Frobenius morphism*

$$\mathrm{Fr}_q : \mathcal{Y}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$$

acts on the coordinates in an affine chart by $x \longmapsto x^q$. The map Fr_q fixes precisely those points with coordinates in $\mathbb{F}_q$, that is,

$$\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathrm{Fix}\big(\mathrm{Fr}_q : \mathcal{Y}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) \to \mathcal{Y}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)\big).$$

For each $f \in \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the Frobenius morphism Fr_q fixes the polynomial f and acts on the set

$$SQ(f) := \{x \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q : f(x^2) = 0\} = \{\pm x_1, \pm x_2, \ldots, \pm x_n\}$$

of square roots of the zeroes of f. If we were to order the set of roots, then the action of Frobenius would define a signed permutation $\sigma_f \in B_n$. On the unordered roots the signed permutation σ_f is well-defined up to conjugation. Thus given a class function χ on B_n, we can define

$$\chi(f) := \chi(\sigma_f).$$

With this notation we may state the following point-counting formula for the set $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$:

Theorem 4.1. (A point-counting formula for $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$). *Let q be an integral power of a prime number $p > 2$ and let χ be a class function on B_n. Then for each $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} \chi(f) = \sum_{d=0}^{n} (-1)^d q^{n-d} \big\langle \chi, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{C}) \big\rangle_{B_n}. \tag{6}$$

Formula (6) relates statistics on the set of polynomials $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with the topology of the hyperplane complement $\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C})$. This result is the type B/C analogue to Church–Ellenberg–Farb [CEF14, Theorem 1]. Theorem 4.1 follows from Grothendieck's celebrated trace formula, combined with results of Lehrer [Leh92] and Kim [Kim94]. Theorem 4.1 was independently proven by Matei [Mat, Theorem 4].

Grothendieck-Lefschetz formula with twisted coefficients

The key tool to obtain the point-counting formula (6) for $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the *Grothendieck-Lefschetz fixed-point formula*. Also called the *Grothendieck's trace formula*, this result is an analogue of the Lefschetz fixed-point theorem for the ℓ–adic cohomology theory that was developed by Grothendieck and others to resolve the Weil conjectures. These influential results show the deep connection between the topology of schemes defined over the complex numbers and the arithmetic of schemes defined over finite fields.

Here we use a version of the Grothendieck's trace formula *with twisted coefficients*. When applied to the schemes $\mathcal{Y}_n$ the formula yields the following:

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Fr}_q : \mathcal{V}_f) = \sum_{d \geq 0} (-1)^d \mathrm{tr}\big(\mathrm{Fr}_q : H_c^{2n-d}(\mathcal{Y}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \mathcal{V})\big). \tag{7}$$

The system of coefficients $\mathcal{V}$ is an ℓ-adic sheaf over $\mathcal{Y}_n$, where ℓ is prime to q. The left hand side of the formula adds the local contributions of the trace of Frobenius Fr_q on each stalk $\mathcal{V}_f$ of $\mathcal{V}$ at $f \in \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. On the right hand side of the formula we have the trace of Frobenius on $H_c^*(\mathcal{Y}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \mathcal{V})$, the compactly-supported ℓ-adic cohomology of $\mathcal{Y}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p} = \mathcal{Y}_n \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

We refer the reader to Deligne's *Rapport sur la formule des traces* [Del77, Théorème 3.2] for the general statement and proof of Formula (7). For an introduction to ℓ-adic cohomology and its relation with the Weil conjectures see Carter [Car93, Chapter 7.1, Appx] and Hartshorne [Har77, Appx C]. A more detailed exposition can be found in Milne [Mil80]. Church–Ellenberg–Farb [CEF14, Section 2] and Gadish [Gad17] describe a version of the trace formula suited to applications in representation stability.

A comparison theorem and the action of Frobenius

In order to derive Theorem 4.1 from the trace formula (7) we use a comparison theorem that relates the ℓ-adic cohomology groups of $\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p} = \mathcal{M}_n \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and the classical cohomology groups of the complex manifold $\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C})$. Lehrer [Leh92] uses the intersection lattice of a hyperplane arrangement to provide such a comparison result between the singular and ℓ-adic theories.

The intersection lattice $L(\mathcal{A}_n)$ of a type B_n/C_n Coxeter arrangement is known to be isomorphic to the *signed partition lattice* Π_n^B. The elements of Π_n^B are partitions of the set $[n] := \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ which satisfy the conditions:

(i) any element but the smallest one in each nonzero block can be barred (signed), and

(ii) the block containing 0 is called the *zero block* and it has no barred elements.

A signed partition $\pi \in \Pi_n^B$ encodes an intersection of planes in $\mathcal{A}_n$ as follows. Take the subspace ℓ_π in $\mathbb{A}^n$ given by $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ defined by the equations

- $x_i = x_j$ when i and j are in the same block of π and both are barred or both are unbarred
- $x_i = -x_j$ if i and j are in the same block of π and one is barred and the other unbarred
- $x_i = 0$ whenever i is in the zero block of π.

For example, the signed partition of the set $[7]$

$$\pi = 0\ 1\ 4 \mid 2\ \bar{5}\ 7 \mid 3\ \bar{6}$$

corresponds to the linear subspace of $\mathbb{A}^7$

$$\big\{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_7) \mid x_2 = -x_5 = x_7;\ x_3 = -x_6;\ x_1 = x_4 = 0\big\}.$$

Conversely, an intersection of hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}_n$ determines a signed partition. We order the signed partitions Π_n^B by reverse inclusion of the corresponding linear subspaces, and the resultant poset is a lattice; see for example Bjorner–Wachs [BW06] for details on this lattice and the isomorphism of lattices $\Pi_n^B \cong L(\mathcal{A}_n)$.

Let $L(\mathcal{A}_n)_q$ denote the intersection lattice of hyperplanes reduced modulo q. From the above description, it is clear that for any prime $q > 2$ the intersection lattices $L(\mathcal{A}_n)_q$ is always isomorphic to the intersection lattice $L(\mathcal{A}_n)$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Lehrer's results therefore provide the following equivariant comparison theorem.

Theorem 4.2. (Lehrer's comparison theorem) [Leh92, Theorems 1.1 and 1.5]. *For any prime $p > 2$ and for each signed permutation $w \in B_n$*

$$tr\big(w, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{C})\big) = tr\big(w, H_c^{2n-d}(\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\big).$$

In particular,

$$dim_{\mathbb{C}}\big(H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{C})\big) = dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}\big(H_c^{2n-d}(\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\big),$$

where ℓ is a prime different from p.

Furthermore, Lehrer also determines the action of the *geometric Frobenius* Fr_q on the ℓ-adic cohomology groups of $\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}$.

Theorem 4.3. (The action of Frobenius on $H_c^*(\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$). (Lehrer [Leh92, Propostion 2.4]; see also Kim [Kim94, Theorem 1']). *Let $p > 2$ be a prime, and $q = p^r$ for some $r \geq 1$. Let Fr_q be the geometric Frobenius morphism $x \mapsto x^q$. Then*

i) $H_c^{2n-d}(\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = 0$ unless $d = 0, 1 \ldots, n$.

ii) All the eigenvalues of Fr_q on $H_c^{2n-d}(\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ are equal to q^{n-d}.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We use Theorems 4.3 and 4.2 above to rewrite the Grothendieck's trace formula with twisted coefficients (7) in the form stated in (6). By the linearity of both sides of the equation, it suffices to consider the case when χ is the character of an irreducible B_n–representation V over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. The B_n–cover $\mathcal{M}_n \to \mathcal{Y}_n$ gives a natural correspondence between the set of finite-dimensional representations V of B_n (up to conjugacy) and the set of finite-dimensional local systems $\mathcal{V}$ on $\mathcal{Y}_n$ (up to isomorphism) that become trivial when pulled back to $\mathcal{M}_n$.

Every irreducible B_n–representation in charateristic zero can be defined over $\mathbb{Z}$. This is true of the irreducible S_n–representations, and so it follows for B_n from the construction of the irreducible representations – a procedure that (up to signs) involves pulling back and inducing up from irreducible representations of S_n; see Geck–Pfeiffer [GP00]. Hence the local system $\mathcal{V}$ corresponding to V defines an ℓ-adic sheaf as required for Formula (7); see for example Gadish [Gad17, Example 2.3 & Remark 2.5] for details. Furthermore, each stalk $\mathcal{V}_f$ is isomorphic to the representation V, and the Frobenius morphism Fr_q acts on $\mathcal{V}_f$ as the signed permutation $\sigma_f \in B_n$. Then

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Fr}_q : \mathcal{V}_f) = \chi(\sigma_f) := \chi(f)$$

and the left hand sides of Formulas (7) and (6) agree.

To verify the right hand side of the Formula (6) let $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ be the pullback of $\mathcal{V}$ to $\mathcal{M}_n$. By a transfer argument

$$H_c^d(\mathcal{Y}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \mathcal{V}) \cong H_c^d(\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \bar{\mathcal{V}})^{B_n}$$

and since $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ is trivial over $\mathcal{M}_n$, we obtain

$$H_c^d(\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \bar{\mathcal{V}})^{B_n} \cong \big(H_c^d(\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes V\big)^{B_n}.$$

We extend scalars to $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Theorem 4.3 implies that Fr_q acts on $H_c^{2n-d}(\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ by multiplication by q^{n-d}. Since the Fr_q-action commutes with the B_n-action we have

$$\mathrm{tr}\big(\mathrm{Fr}_q : H_c^{2n-d}(\mathcal{Y}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \mathcal{V})\big) = q^{n-d}\mathrm{dim}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}\big(H_c^d(\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}; \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \otimes V\big)^{B_n}.$$

Any representation V of B_n in characteristic zero is self-dual; this follows (for example) from Geck–Pfeiffer [GP00, Corollary 3.2.14]. Hence

$$\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}\left(H_c^d(\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p};\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\otimes V\right)^{B_n} = \left\langle \chi, H_c^{2n-d}(\mathcal{M}_{n/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p};\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\right\rangle_{B_n} = \left\langle \chi, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC\,n}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})\right\rangle_{B_n},$$

where the last equality follows from the comparison theorem, Theorem 4.2. We have recovered the right-hand side of Formula (6), and completed the proof. □

Interpreting the values of character polynomials

Consider a squarefree polynomial f with nonzero constant term, and a hyperoctahedral character polynomial P. In this subsection we will see how to interpret the value $P(f)$ concretely in terms of the data of the irreducible factors of f and the square roots of their zeroes. To do this we will use the following terminology.

Definition 4.4. (QR and NQR field elements). Recall that an element $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is called a *quadratic residue over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ if there exists some $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $x^2 = \theta$, otherwise we refer to θ as a *quadratic nonresidue over* $\mathbb{F}_q$. More generally, if $\theta \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$, let

$$\deg(\theta) := \min\{r : \theta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}\}$$

and let $\sqrt{\theta}$ be one of the solutions of $x^2 - \theta$. A nonzero $\theta \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ will be called a *quadratic residue (QR)* if $\deg(\theta) = \deg(\sqrt{\theta})$ and a *quadratic nonresidue (NQR)* otherwise.

Given an irreducible polynomial $g \in \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, either all the roots of g are QR, or they all are NQR, which allows for the following classification.

Definition 4.5. (QR and NQR irreducible polynomials). An irreducible polynomial $g(x)$ is called QR if all of its roots are QR, and NQR otherwise.

This fact about roots of irreducible polynomials can be proven directly using Galois theory, but is also inherent in the classification of B_n signed cycle types as products of positive and negative cycles (Definition 2.8), as we will see below.

For each $f \in \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, recall that the signed permutation σ_f induced by Fr_q acts on the set

$$SQ(f) := \{x \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q : f(x^2) = 0\} = \{\pm x_1, \pm x_2, \ldots, \pm x_n\}$$

of square roots of the zeroes of f. The image of σ_f under the projection $B_n \to S_n$ encodes the action of σ_f on the zeroes $\{x_1^2, x_2^2, \ldots, x_n^2\}$ of f.

For each positive or negative r–cycle of σ_f, we can consider its corresponding orbit(s)

$$\{\pm x_{i_1}, \pm x_{i_2}, \ldots, \pm x_{i_r}\} \in SQ(f).$$

Recall that, by definition, an r–cycle in B_n projects to an r–cycle in S_n, so the zeroes $\{x_{i_1}^2, x_{i_2}^2, \ldots, x_{i_r}^2\}$ form a single orbit under the Fr_q–action.

- Since the morphism $(\mathrm{Fr}_q)^r = \mathrm{Fr}_{q^r}$ fixes the set $\{x_{i_1}^2, x_{i_2}^2, \ldots, x_{i_r}^2\}$ pointwise, then the zeroes $x_{i_1}^2, x_{i_2}^2, \ldots, x_{i_r}^2$ of f are in $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$. No smaller power of Fr_q fixes these any of these squares, so they do not lie in any smaller field. This set of roots then corresponds to an irreducible degree–r factor of f. The total number of r–cycles in σ_f is

$$X_r(f) + Y_r(f) = \#\text{ degree–}r\text{ irreducible factors of } f.$$

- If $\{\pm x_{i_1}, \pm x_{i_2}, \ldots, \pm x_{i_r}\}$ corresponds to a positive r–cycle of σ_f, then $(\mathrm{Fr}_q)^r = \mathrm{Fr}_{q^r}$ fixes each x_i. This means that the square roots $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_r}$ of the zeroes of f are in $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$. Therefore,

$$X_r(f) = \#\text{ degree–}r\text{ QR irreducible factors of } f.$$

- If $\{\pm x_{i_1}, \pm x_{i_2}, \ldots, \pm x_{i_r}\}$ corresponds to a negative r–cycle of σ_f, then $(\mathrm{Fr}_q)^r = \mathrm{Fr}_{q^r}$ is a product of r transpositions that interchange the two square roots $\pm x_i$ of each square x_i^2 in the orbit. Hence the square roots $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_r}$ of the zeroes of f are in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}$, but not in $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$, and

$$Y_r(f) = \#\text{ degree–}r\text{ NQR irreducible factors of } f.$$

We summarize these observations in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.6. (Interpreting character polynomials). *Let q be an odd prime power. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be a squarefree polynomial with nonzero constant term. Let $X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \ldots$ be the B_n class functions of Definition 2.9. Then in the notation of Section 4.2,*

$$X_r(f) + Y_r(f) = \#\textit{ degree–}r\textit{ irreducible factors of } f,$$

$$X_r(f) = \#\textit{ degree–}r\textit{ QR irreducible factors of } f,$$
$$Y_r(f) = \#\textit{ degree–}r\textit{ NQR irreducible factors of } f.$$

Example 4.7. (Detecting QR and NQR linear factors). Consider the polynomial

$$f(T) = T^2 - 1 \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_7)$$

with roots $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = -1$. Let $\epsilon \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_7$ be a square root of -1. Then the Frobenius morphism Fr_7 acts on the set $SQ(f) = \{\pm 1, \pm\epsilon\}$ by

$$1 \longmapsto 1^7 = 1; \qquad \epsilon \longmapsto \epsilon^7 = -\epsilon;$$
$$-1 \longmapsto (-1)^7 = -1; \qquad -\epsilon \longmapsto (-\epsilon)^7 = \epsilon,$$

and the signed permutation σ_f is $(1)(\overline{1})(2\,\overline{2}) \in B_2$. The cycle type of σ_f contains a positive 1-cycle $(1)(\overline{1})$ which corresponds to the QR linear factor $(T-1)$ and a negative 1-cycle $(2\,\overline{2})$ which corresponds to the NQR linear factor $(T+1)$, since -1 is not a square in $\mathbb{F}_7$.

Definition 4.8. (Polynomial statistics on $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$). We refer to the functions on $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ defined by hyperoctahedral character polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \ldots]$ as *polynomial statistics* on $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

4.3 Asymptotic formula

We now have all the necessary ingredients to prove our asymptotic result for polynomial statistics on squarefree polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with nonzero constant term.

Theorem 4.9. (Stability for polynomial statistics on $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$) *Let q be an integral power of an odd prime. For any polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \ldots]$ the normalized statistic*

$$\frac{\sum_{f \in \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} P(f)}{q^n}$$

converges as n approaches infinity. In fact

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} q^{-n} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} P(f) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lim_{m\to\infty} \left\langle P_m, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_m}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})\right\rangle_{B_m}}{(-q)^d} \tag{8}$$

and the series on the right hand side converges.

Theorem 4.9 states that (appropriately normalized) polynomial statistics on $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ converge as n tends to infinity – and relates the limit to the representation theory of the cohomology groups of the complex hyperplane complements $\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C})$.

One consequence of Theorem 4.9 is that the expected value of polynomial statistics converge.

Corollary 4.10. (Stability for the expected value of polynomial statistics on $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$). *Let q be an integral power of an odd prime. For any polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \ldots]$ the expected value*

$$\frac{\sum_{f \in \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} P(f)}{|\mathcal{Y}(F_q)|}$$

of P on $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ converges as n tends to infinity, and its limit is

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{f \in \mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} P(f)}{|\mathcal{Y}(F_q)|} = \left(\frac{q+1}{q-1}\right) \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lim_{m\to\infty} \left\langle P_m, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_m}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})\right\rangle_{B_m}}{(-q)^d}.$$

Proof. In Proposition 4.14 below, we compute

$$|\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q^n - 2q^{n-1} + 2q^{n-2} - \ldots + (-1)^{n-1}2q + (-1)^n.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{q^n} = 1 - \frac{2}{q} + \frac{2}{q^2} - \frac{2}{q^3} + \ldots + \frac{(-1)^k(2)}{q^k} + \ldots = \frac{(q-1)}{(q+1)}$$

and the result follows from Theorem 4.9. □

We now prove Theorem 4.9.

Proof of Theorem 4.9. We follow the arguments used by Church–Ellenberg–Farb [CEF14, Theorem 3.13]. We denote $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{C})$ by $\mathcal{B}_n^d$ as before. In the proof of Theorem 3.8, we showed that there is a function $F_P(d)$ that is independent of n and has order $o(2.99^d)$ such that $|\langle P_n, \mathcal{B}_n^d\rangle_{B_n}| \leq F_P(d)$. Then

$$\left|\lim_{m\to\infty} \langle P_m, \mathcal{B}_m^d\rangle_{B_m}\right| \leq F_P(d).$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. The series $\sum_{d\geq 0} \frac{F_P(d)}{q^d}$ converges absolutely, so

$$\sum_{d\geq I+1} \frac{F_P(d)}{q^d} < \epsilon/2 \qquad \text{for some } I \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $N = 2I + \deg(P)$. The second author [Wil15, Cor 5.10] proved that the sequence of character B_n–representations $\mathcal{B}_n^k$ are given by a unique character polynomial of degree $\leq 2d$ for all n. Then Proposition 2.13 implies that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \langle P_m, \mathcal{B}_m^d \rangle_{B_m} = \langle P_n, \mathcal{B}_n^d \rangle_{B_n} \qquad \text{for } d \leq I \text{ and n}\geq N.$$

From Theorem 3.8, the series

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lim_{m\to\infty} \langle P_m, \mathcal{B}_m^d \rangle_{B_m}}{(-q)^d}$$

converges absolutely to a limit $L < \infty$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.1,

$$q^{-n} \sum_{f\in\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} P(f) = \sum_{d=0}^{n} \frac{\langle P_n, \mathcal{B}_n^d \rangle_{B_n}}{(-q)^d}.$$

Therefore, if $n \geq N$

$$\left| L - q^{-n} \sum_{f\in\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} P(f) \right| = \left| \sum_{d\geq I+1} \frac{\lim_{m\to\infty} \langle P_m, \mathcal{B}_m^d \rangle_{B_m} - \langle P_n, \mathcal{B}_n^d \rangle_{B_n}}{(-q)^d} \right|$$
$$\leq \sum_{d\geq I+1} \frac{F_P(d) + F_P(d)}{q^d} < \epsilon. \qquad \square$$

Remark 4.11. (Convergence FI$_\mathcal{W}$–CHA). It is possible to define a type B/C analogue of the concept of a "FI-complement of hyperplane arrangement" introduced by Church–Ellenberg–Farb [CEF14, Section 3] in type A. A type B/C version of [CEF14, Theorem 3.7] holds, and our Theorem 4.1 is a particular case.

The cohomology ring of a FI$_\mathcal{W}$–CHA has the structure of an FI$_\mathcal{W}$-algebra. By Theorem 3.9, this FI$_\mathcal{W}$–algebra is finitely generated by the elements in cohomological degree 1. Since we would insist that the hyperplane arrangement of a FI$_\mathcal{W}$–CHA contain the form $(x_1 - x_2)$, its degree–1 cohomology must contain $M(\square\!\square)$ (if type A) or $M_{BC}(\square\!\square, \varnothing)$ (if type B/C) as a sub–FI$_\mathcal{W}$–module. Theorem 3.4 and Remark 3.3 therefore suggest that finite generation is likely not enough to guarantee that the corresponding point count stabilize as n grows.

A result analogous to [CEF14, Theorem 3.13] follows for FI$_\mathcal{W}$–CHA that satisfy the equivalent conditions in Lemma 2.15 for a subexponential function g. We could call such hyperplane complements *convergent FI$_\mathcal{W}$-CHA* as in [CEF14, Definition 3.12].

4.4 Examples of statistics on squarefree polynomials

In this section we use Theorems 4.1 and 4.9 to compute examples of polynomial statistics on $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ by analyzing the cohomology groups $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$. We emphasize that it is possible to compute these statistics by more direct methods; these computations are not the first, nor necessarily the most efficient, means of obtaining these results; see for example Alegre–Juarez–Pajela [AJP15] for a counting method using generating functions. Instead, the computations in this section serve to illustrate the beautiful and unexpected relationships between the combinatorics of squarefree polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ and the representation theory of $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$ that follow from the work of Grothendieck, Deligne, and others.

We begin by reviewing results due to Douglass [Dou92] on the structure of the cohomology groups $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$ of the complex hyperplane complements in type B/C.

Douglass' decomposition of $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$

A celebrated result of Lehrer–Solomon [LS86] gives a decomposition of the cohomology groups $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{A_n}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$ of the complex hyperplane complements associated to the symmetric groups. Lehrer and Solomon describe these cohomology groups as a sum of certain induced representations of one-dimensional characters of certain S_n subgroups. Douglass [Dou92] proves a closely analogous result for the cohomology $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$ of the type B/C hyperplane complements: he proved the B_n–representation $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC_n}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$ decomposes as a sum of certain induced representations of one-dimensional characters ζ_λ of subgroups $\mathbf{Y}_\lambda$ of B_n, described below.

Theorem 4.12. (Douglass' decomposition of $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$). *[Dou92, Formula (1.1)] For each d with $0 \le d \le n$, there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}B_n$–modules*

$$H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda=(\lambda^+,\lambda^-),\\ \ell(\lambda^+)=n-d}} Ind_{\mathbf{Y}_\lambda}^{B_n}\zeta_\lambda.$$

The groups $\mathbf{Y}_\lambda$ and their characters ζ_λ are defined as follows. Consider a double partition $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ of n with

$$\lambda^+ = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_a) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^- = (\lambda_{a+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{a+b}).$$

Let $B_{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times B_{\lambda_{a+b}}$ be the corresponding subgroup of B_n, where B_{λ_i} denotes the signed permutation group on the letters

$$\Omega_i := \{\pm(1 + \sum_{j<i} \lambda_j), \ldots, \pm(\lambda_i + \sum_{j<i} \lambda_j)\}.$$

For each factor B_{λ_i}, let x_i denote the longest element, that is, the central element that acts by multiplication by -1. If $\lambda_i > 1$, then let y_i denote a positive λ_i–cycle in B_{λ_i}. Let $\mathbf{Y}_i := \langle x_i, y_i\rangle$. Example 4.13 shows these groups in the case that $\lambda = ((3,1,1),(2,2,1))$.

Example 4.13. (The summand of $H^7(\mathcal{M}_{BC10}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$ indexed by $\lambda = ((3,1,1),(2,2,1))$.) As an example, given the double partition $\lambda = ((3,1,1),(2,2,1))$ we take the subgroup

$$B_3 \times B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2 \times B_1 \subseteq B_{10}.$$

Then the elements x_i and y_i are shown in the following table.

i	Ω_i	x_i	y_i
1	$\{1,\overline{1},2,\overline{2},3,\overline{3}\}$	$(\overline{1}\ 1)(\overline{2}\ 2)(\overline{3}\ 3)$	$(1\ 2\ 3)(\overline{1}\ \overline{2}\ \overline{3})$
2	$\{4,\overline{4}\}$	$(\overline{4}\ 4)$	
3	$\{5,\overline{5}\}$	$(\overline{5}\ 5)$	
4	$\{6,\overline{6},7,\overline{7}\}$	$(\overline{6}\ 6)(\overline{7}\ 7)$	$(6\ 7)(\overline{6}\ \overline{7})$
5	$\{8,\overline{8},9,\overline{9}\}$	$(\overline{8}\ 8)(\overline{9}\ 9)$	$(8\ 9)(\overline{8}\ \overline{9})$
6	$\{10,\overline{10}\}$	$(\overline{10}\ 10)$	

Let $n_r(\lambda^+)$ denote the number of parts of λ^+ of size r, and similarly $n_r(\lambda^-)$. Let $\mathbf{H}_\lambda$ denote the product of symmetric groups that permutes parts of the same size in each partition:

$$\mathbf{H}_\lambda := S_{n_{\lambda_1}(\lambda^+)} \times \cdots \times S_{n_1(\lambda^+)} \times S_{n_{\lambda_{a+1}}(\lambda^-)} \times \cdots \times S_{n_1(\lambda^-)}.$$

Example 4.13 continued. Given $\lambda = ((3,1,1),(2,2,1))$, we have

$$\mathbf{H}_\lambda = \left\langle (4\ 5)(\overline{4}\ \overline{5}), (6\ 8)(\overline{6}\ \overline{8})(7\ 9)(\overline{7}\ \overline{9}) \right\rangle \cong S_2 \times S_2.$$

Define

$$\mathbf{Y}_\lambda := \mathbf{H}_\lambda(\mathbf{Y}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Y}_{a+b}).$$

We define a linear character ζ_λ of $\mathbf{Y}_\lambda$ by its restrictions to the subgroups $\mathbf{Y}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Y}_{a+b}$ and $\mathbf{H}_\lambda$, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_\lambda : \mathbf{Y}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Y}_{a+b} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ y_i &\longmapsto \eta_{\lambda_i} := (-1)^{\lambda_i - 1} e^{\frac{2\pi I}{\lambda_i}} \qquad I \text{ denotes a root of } -1 \\ x_i &\longmapsto 1 \end{aligned}$$

For each symmetric group factor of $\mathbf{H}_\lambda$, the character acts as either the alternating representation or the trivial representation, depending on whether the factor is permuting parts of λ^+ or parts of λ^-, and whether the corresponding parts are even or odd.

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_\lambda : \mathbf{H}_\lambda &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ S_{n_{\lambda_i}(\lambda^+)} \ni \sigma &\longmapsto \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) && \text{if } \lambda_i \text{ is even} \\ S_{n_{\lambda_i}(\lambda^+)} \ni \sigma &\longmapsto 1 && \text{if } \lambda_i \text{ is odd} \\ S_{n_{\lambda_i}(\lambda^-)} \ni \sigma &\longmapsto \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) && \text{if } \lambda_i \text{ is odd} \\ S_{n_{\lambda_i}(\lambda^-)} \ni \sigma &\longmapsto 1 && \text{if } \lambda_i \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.13 completed. For the partition $\lambda = ((3,1,1),(2,2,1))$, the representation ζ_λ is defined as follows.

x_i	y_i	$\mathbf{H}_\lambda$
$(\overline{1}\ 1)(\overline{2}\ 2)(\overline{3}\ 3) \longmapsto 1$	$(1\ 2\ 3)(\overline{1}\ \overline{2}\ \overline{3}) \longmapsto \eta_3 = e^{\frac{2\pi I}{3}}$	
$(\overline{4}\ 4) \longmapsto 1$		$(4\ 5)(\overline{4}\ \overline{5}) \longmapsto 1$
$(\overline{5}\ 5) \longmapsto 1$		
$(\overline{6}\ 6)(\overline{7}\ 7) \longmapsto 1$	$(6\ 7)(\overline{6}\ \overline{7}) \longmapsto \eta_2 = 1$	$(6\ 8)(\overline{6}\ \overline{8})(7\ 9)(\overline{7}\ \overline{9}) \longmapsto 1$
$(\overline{8}\ 8)(\overline{9}\ 9) \longmapsto 1$	$(8\ 9)(\overline{8}\ \overline{9}) \longmapsto \eta_2 = 1$	
$(\overline{10}\ 10) \longmapsto 1$		

The character of $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$

Church–Ellenberg–Farb use Lehrer–Solomon's decomposition of the cohomology of the braid arrangement to compute statistics on the space of monic squarefree polynomials [CEF14, Propositoin 4.5]. We can similarly use Douglass's result to perform computations on $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$.

Observe that, given a B_n–representation V with character χ,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \chi, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})\rangle_{B_n} &= \sum_{\substack{\lambda=(\lambda^+,\lambda^-),\\ \ell(\lambda^+)=n-d}} \left\langle \chi, \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{Y}_\lambda}^{B_n}\zeta_\lambda \right\rangle_{B_n} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\lambda=(\lambda^+,\lambda^-),\\ \ell(\lambda^+)=n-d}} \left\langle \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbf{Y}_\lambda}^{B_n}\chi, \zeta_\lambda \right\rangle_{\mathbf{Y}_\lambda}\end{aligned}$$

Because the characters ζ_λ are 1-dimensional, computing this inner product is simply a matter of counting the dimension of the subspace of V on which $\mathbf{Y}_\lambda$ acts by ζ_λ. We will use this observation to prove Lemmas 4.15 and 4.16 below.

Some statistics on $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

Proposition 4.14. (The number of $\mathbb{F}_q$–points in $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.) *Let q be an odd prime power. The number of degree n monic squarefree polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ with nonzero constant term is*

$$|\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q^n - 2q^{n-1} + 2q^{n-2} - \ldots + (-1)^{n-1}2q + (-1)^n.$$

Proof. If we consider the class function $\chi \equiv 1$, then Formula (6) implies that the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$–points of $\mathcal{Y}_n$ is

$$|\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \sum_{d=0}^{n}(-1)^d q^{n-d}\langle 1, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC};\mathbb{C})\rangle_{B_n}.$$

But

$$\langle 1, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC};\mathbb{C})\rangle_{B_n} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}\big(H^d(\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})\big) = b_d;$$

the dth-Betti number of $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Brieskorn [Bri73, Théorème 7] determined these Betti numbers to be

$$b_0 = b_n = 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad b_d = 2 \quad \text{for } 0 < d < n,$$

which gives us the desired formula. □

There are, of course, methods for counting the polynomials in $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ directly. Notably, using Formula (6), such a count would give a combinatorial proof of the Betti numbers of $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{C})$, and recover Brieskorn's result.

We next use Douglass' result to find the stable values of the inner products $\langle \chi, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC};\mathbb{C})\rangle_{B_n}$ when χ is given by the character polynomials $X_1 - Y_1$ or $X_1 + Y_1$. We will use these stable values in Propositions 4.17 and 4.18 to compute further asymptotic statistics on the spaces $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Lemma 4.15. ($\langle X_1 - Y_1, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})\rangle_{B_n}$ vanishes). *The inner product*

$$\langle X_1 - Y_1, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})\rangle_{B_n} = 0 \qquad \textit{for all } n \textit{ and all } d.$$

Proof. The character $X_1 - Y_1$ corresponds to the B_n–representation

$$V_n \cong V_{((n-1),(1))},$$

the canonical representation of B_n on $V_n \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ by signed permutation matrices. To compute the values of the inner product we use the Douglass' result Theorme 4.12. In the notation of Section 4.4, for each partition λ the associated product $\prod x_i$ is the signed permutation $-\mathrm{Id}$. Given a vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, this matrix acts on v by -1, while the representation $\zeta_\lambda : \prod x_i \to 1$ acts on v trivially, and so v cannot be a copy of the representation ζ_λ. We conclude that this inner product is identically zero. □

Lemma 4.16. (Stable values of $\left\langle X_1 + Y_1; H^0(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})\right\rangle_{B_n}$). *The inner product of $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})$ with the character polynomial $X_1 + Y_1$ has the following stable values*

$$\left\langle X_1 + Y_1; H^0(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})\right\rangle_{B_n} = 1 \qquad \textit{for all } n \geq 1,$$
$$\left\langle X_1 + Y_1; H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})\right\rangle_{B_n} = 4d \qquad \textit{for all } d \geq 1 \textit{ and } n \geq d+2.$$

Proof. The characters $X_1 + Y_1$ correspond to the B_n representation

$$V_n = V_{((n),\varnothing)} \oplus V_{((n-1,1),\varnothing)}$$

pulled back from the canonical permutation representation of the symmetric group S_n on $\mathbb{C}^n$ by permuting the n basis elements $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$. Lemma 4.16 can be proved using Douglass' decomposition and (in the notation of Section 4.4) finding for each λ with $\ell(\lambda^+) = n - d$ the dimension of the subspace of V_n on which $\mathbf{Y}_\lambda$ acts by ζ_λ. We summarize this computation for odd homological degrees d in Table 1. In this table, the notation τ_j represents the partition

$$\tau_j = \begin{cases} (2^{\frac{j}{2}}) & \text{if } j \text{ is even} \\ (2^{\frac{j-1}{2}}, 1) & \text{if } j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The case of even homological degree d is similar, and we omit the details. In Table 2 we summarize some stable and unstable values for small n and d. □

Table 1: Stable values of $\langle X_1 + Y_1, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BC\,n}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})\rangle_{B_n}$ for d odd. The "action" column shows the representation evaluated on all elements of $\mathbf{Y}_\lambda$ that act nontrivially.

λ	Basis in $\mathbb{C}^n$	Action	$\langle V_n;\eta_\lambda\rangle_{\mathbf{Y}_\lambda}$
$\left((1^{(n-d)}),(2^{\frac{d-1}{2}},1)\right)$	$e_1+e_2+\cdots+e_{n-d}$	Trivial	Total: 3
	$e_{n-d+1}+e_2+\cdots+e_{n-1}$	Trivial	
	e_n	Trivial	
$\left((2,1^{(n-d-1)}),(2^{\frac{d-1}{2}})\right)$	e_1+e_2	Trivial	Total: 3
	$e_3+e_4+\cdots+e_{n-d+1}$	Trivial	
	$e_{n-d+2}+\cdots+e_n$	Trivial	
$\left((j,1^{(n-d-1)}),\tau_{(d-j+1)}\right)$, $3\le j\le d+1$	$e_1+\eta_j e_2+\cdots+\eta_j^{j-1}e_j$	$y_1\longmapsto\eta_j$	Total : $d-1$
$\left((j,2,1^{(n-d-2)}),\tau_{(d-j)}\right)$, $3\le j\le d$	$e_1+\eta_j e_2+\cdots+\eta_j^{j-1}e_j$	$y_1\longmapsto\eta_j$	Total : $d-2$
$\left((1^{(n-d)}),(j,\tau_{(d-j)})\right)$, $3\le j\le d$	$e_{n-d+1}+\eta_j e_{n-d+2}+\cdots+\eta_j^{j-1}e_{n-d+j}$	$y_{a+1}\longmapsto\eta_j$	Total : $d-2$
$\left((2,1^{(n-d-1)}),(j,\tau_{(d-j-1)})\right)$, $3\le j\le d-1$	$e_{n-d+1}+\eta_j e_{n-d+2}+\cdots+\eta_j^{j-1}e_{n-d+j}$	$y_{a+1}\longmapsto\eta_j$	Total : $d-3$
$\left((2^2,1^{(n-d-2)}),(2^{\frac{d-3}{2}},1)\right)$	$e_1+e_2-e_3-e_4$	$(1\ 3)(2\ 4)\longmapsto -1$	Total: 1
$\left((2,1^{(n-d-1)}),(2^{\frac{d-3}{2}},1^2)\right)$	$e_{n-1}-e_n$	$(n-1\ n)\longmapsto -1$	Total: 1
			Total: $4d$

Proposition 4.17. (Expected number of linear factors for polynomials in $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$). *In the limit as n tends to infinity, the expected value of the number of linear factors in $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ converges to*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{f\in\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)}\big(X_1(f)+Y_1(f)\big)}{|\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)|}=\frac{q-1}{q+1}.$$

Proof. In order to count the number of linear factors of a given polynomial $f\in\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ we can evaluate Formula (8) at the character polynomial X_1+Y_1. Lemma 4.16 states the stable values of the inner products on the right-hand side of the equation, and implies

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty}q^{-n}\sum_{f\in\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)}\big(X_1(f)+Y_1(f)\big)&=1-\frac{4}{q}+\frac{8}{q^2}-\frac{12}{q^3}+\ldots+\frac{(-1)^k(4k)}{q^k}+\ldots\\&=\frac{(q-1)^2}{(q+1)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Table 2: Some stable and unstable values of $\langle X_1 + Y_1, H^d(\mathcal{M}_{BCn}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{C})\rangle_{B_n}$

d \ n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	…	$n >> d$
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	…	1
1	1	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	…	4
2	0	2	6	8	8	8	8	8	…	8
3	0	0	3	9	12	12	12	12	…	12
4	0	0	0	4	12	16	16	16	…	16
5	0	0	0	0	5	15	20	20	…	20
6	0	0	0	0	0	6	18	24	…	24
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	21	…	28
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	…	32

From Proposition 4.14, we see

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{q^n} &= 1 - \frac{2}{q} + \frac{2}{q^2} - \frac{2}{q^3} + \ldots + \frac{(-1)^k(2)}{q^k} + \ldots \\ &= \frac{(q-1)}{(q+1)}\end{aligned}$$

and so by taking the ratio of these limits, we complete the proof. □

Since half the nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}_q$ are quadratic residues, we might expect that half of these linear factors to be QR in the sense of Definition 4.4 – and indeed we can verify this by evaluating Formula (8) at the character polynomials X_1 and Y_1. Since

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{2}\Big[(X_1+Y_1)+(X_1-Y_1)\Big] \qquad \text{and} \qquad Y_1 = \frac{1}{2}\Big[(X_1+Y_1)-(X_1-Y_1)\Big]$$

the we can deduce the following result from Lemma 4.15 and Theorem 4.17.

Proposition 4.18. (Expected number of QR and NQR linear factors). *The expected number of QR linear factors in $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ tends to*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{f\in\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)}X_1(f)}{|\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)|} = \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)}.$$

The expected number of NQR linear factors in $\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ tends to

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{f\in\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)}Y_1(f)}{|\mathcal{Y}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)|} = \frac{q-1}{2(q+1)}.$$

References

[AJP15] M. Alegre, P. Juarez, and H. Pajela, *Statistics about polynomials over finite fields*, Preprint (2015).

[Arn69] V. I. Arnol'd, *The cohomology ring of the colored braid group*, Mathematical Notes **5** (1969), no. 2, 138–140.

[Bri73] E. Brieskorn, *Sur les groupes de tresses [d'après V. I. Arnol'd]*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 24ème année (1971/1972), Exp. No. 401, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 21–44. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 317.

[BW06] A. Björner and M. L. Wachs, *Geometrically constructed bases for homology of partition lattices of type A, B and D*, Electron. J. Combin. **11** (2004/06), no. 2, Research Paper 3, 26.

[Car93] R. W. Carter, *Finite groups of Lie type*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1993, Conjugacy classes and complex characters, Reprint of the 1985 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[Cas17] K. Casto, *FI_G-modules and arithmetic statistics*, arXiv preprint: 1703.07295 (2017).

[CEF14] T. Church, J. Ellenberg, and B. Farb, *Representation stability in cohomology and asymptotics for families of varieties over finite fields*, Algebraic topology: applications and new directions, Contemp. Math., vol. 620, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014, pp. 1–54.

[CEF15] ———, *FI-modules and stability for representations of symmetric groups*, Duke Math. J. **164** (2015), no. 9, 1833–1910.

[CF13] T. Church and B. Farb, *Representation theory and homological stability*, Adv. Math. **245** (2013), 250–314.

[Che16] W. Chen, *Twisted cohomology of configuration spaces and spaces of maximal tori via point-counting*, arXiv preprint:1603.03931 (2016).

[Del77] P. Deligne, *Cohomologie étale*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 569, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA 4 1/2, Avec la collaboration de J. F. Boutot, A. Grothendieck, L. Illusie et J. L. Verdier.

[Dou92] J. M. Douglass, *On the cohomology of an arrangement of type B_l*, J. Algebra **146** (1992), 265–282.

[Fin03] S. R. Finch, *Mathematical constants*, Cambridge University press, 2003.

[FJRW17] J. Fulman, R. Jimenez Rolland, and J.C.H. Wilson, *Generating functions and statistics on spaces of maximal tori in classical lie groups*, New York J. Math. **23** (2017), 165–191.

[FS09] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick, *Analytic combinatorics*, Cambridge University press, 2009.

[Ful16] J. Fulman, *A generating function approach to counting theorems for square-free polynomials and maximal tori*, Annals of Combinatorics **20** (2016), 587–599.

[FW16] B. Farb and J. Wolfson, *Étale homological stability and arithmetic statistics*, arXiv preprint: 1512.00415 (2016).

[Gad17] N. Gadish, *A trace formula for the distribution of rational G-orbits in ramified covers, adapted to representation stability*, arxiv preprint: 1703.01710 (2017).

[GP00] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and IwahoriHecke algebras*, Oxford University Press, USA, 2000.

[Har77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

[Hen06] A. Henderson, *Bases for certain cohomology representations of the symmetric group*, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics **24** (2006), no. 4, 361–390.

[JRW17] R. Jiménez Rolland and J. C. H. Wilson, *Convergence criteria for $FI_{\mathcal{W}}$–algebras and statistics on maximal tori in type B/C*, Adv. Math. **310** (2017), 121–158.

[Kim94] M. Kim, *Weights in cohomology groups arising from hyperplane arrangements*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), no. 3, 697–703.

[KL02] M. Kisin and G. I. Lehrer, *Equivariant Poincaré polynomials and counting points over finite fields*, J. Algebra **247** (2002), no. 2, 435–451.

[Leh92] G. I. Lehrer, *The ℓ-adic cohomology of hyperplane complements*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **24** (1992), no. 1, 76–82.

[LS86] G. I. Lehrer and L. Solomon, *On the action of the symmetric group on the cohomology of the complement of its reflecting hyperplanes*, Journal of Algebra **104** (1986), no. 2, 410–424.

[Lup59] O. B. Lupanov, *Asymptotic estimates of the number of graphs having n edges*, Doklady Akademii Nauk **126** (1959), no. 3, 498–500.

[Mac79] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Clarendon Press Oxford, 1979.

[Mat] V. Matei, *A geometric perspective on Landau's problem over function fields*, Preprint, http://www.math.wisc.edu/∼mvlad/landau.pdf.

[Mil80] J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 33, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.

[OS80] P. Orlik and L. Solomon, *Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes*, Inventiones Mathematicae **56** (1980), no. 2, 167–189.

[Ott48] R. Otter, *The number of trees*, Annals of Mathematics (1948), 583–599.

[Wil14] J. C. H. Wilson, *FI_W-modules and stability criteria for representations of classical Weyl groups*, Journal of Algebra **420** (2014), 269–332.

[Wil15] J. C. H. Wilson, *FI_W–modules and constraints on classical Weyl group characters*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **281** (2015), 1–42.

[You30] A. Young, *On quantitative substitutional analysis (fifth paper)*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **2** (1930), no. 1, 273.

Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Oaxaca de Juárez, Oaxaca, México 68000
rita@im.unam.mx

Stanford University, Department of Mathematics
Sloan Hall. 450 Serra Mall, Building 380, Stanford, CA 94305
jchw@stanford.edu